

Quad Tolerances



Rick Baartman, TRIUMF

December 20, 2011

Summary

The ripple on the quad power supplies can be specified at 0.1% for all quad power supplies. The pole positioning can be $50\ \mu\text{m}$ ($100\ \mu\text{m}$ in dia.) for all.

Basic considerations

The focal length of a quadrupole is f :

$$\frac{1}{f} = \frac{\int G dz}{B\rho} = \frac{K}{B\rho},$$

where K is the integrated quad strength.

An error results in a mismatch compared with the desired ellipse if the error $\delta(x/f)$ becomes comparable with the local angular spread ϵ/x . Thus we have the condition:

$$\delta(1/f) \ll \frac{\epsilon}{x^2}$$

or,

$$\delta(1/f) \ll \frac{1}{\beta_{x,y}}$$

As $\frac{d(1/f)}{1/f} = \frac{dI}{I}$, we have the following condition in the allowed variation of the quad current I :

$$\frac{dI}{I} \ll \frac{f}{\beta_{x,y}} \equiv \hat{I}. \quad (1)$$

Similarly, we can find a condition for the aperture and hence the tolerance on the positioning of the poles:

$$V = V_0 \frac{x^2 - y^2}{2a^2}$$

and

$$B_x = \partial_x V = \frac{x}{a^2} V_0$$

and gradient G is

$$G_x = \partial_x B = \frac{V_0}{a^2}$$

Thus

$$\frac{d(1/f)}{1/f} = 2 \frac{da}{a}$$

The condition is:

$$da \ll \frac{af}{2\beta_{x,y}} \equiv \hat{a} \quad (2)$$

We have tabulated \hat{I} and \hat{a} for all the quadrupoles, in the following table; all quads have $a = 26$ mm. For those whose tune changes depending upon mode of operation (photofission, ERL, RLA), only the worst (smallest) value is given.

How much smaller?

The symbol \ll hides some fuzziness and so requires further thought. The question is: How large a factor is required? For current ripple, we must be quite stringent, as it results in a real emittance growth unless there is a linear correction being imposed with bandwidth similar to the ripple (likely 60 Hz). This is unlikely. Thus, I impose a factor 40.

For pole positioning, the error is only systematic, and can be corrected by varying the strength slightly. The only inconvenience is that quads that are in a symmetric arrangement and constrained to match, will not match well. Thus, we use a looser factor of 10 here.

Results

Name	\hat{I}	\hat{a}/mm
EMBT:Q1	0.2	3.2
EMBT:Q2	0.10	1.3
EMBT:Q3	0.6	7.3
EMBT:Q4	0.8	10.0
EMBT:Q5	1.0	13.0
EMBT:Q6	0.6	7.5
EMBT:Q7	0.4	4.6
EABT:Q1	0.12	1.6
EABT:Q2	0.13	1.7
EABT:Q3	0.2	2.2
EABT:Q4	0.2	2.8
EABT:Q5	0.2	2.4
EHAT:Q1	0.2	2.5
EHAT:Q2	0.13	1.7

Name	\hat{I}	\hat{a}/mm
EHDT		
EHDT:Q3	0.7	9.3
EHDT:Q4	0.6	8.4
EHDT:Q5	0.3	4.1
EHDT:Q6	1.1	15.1
EHDT:Q7	0.3	4.1
EHDT:Q8	1.1	14.6
$2 \times 26^\circ$ Dogleg		
EHBT:Q1	0.054	0.70
EHBT:Q2	0.051	0.67
EHBT:Q3	0.051	0.66
EHBT:Q4	0.13	1.6
EHBT:Q5	0.59	7.6
EHBT:Q6	0.13	1.7
EHBT:Q7	0.051	0.66
EHBT:Q8	0.067	0.87
EHBT:Q9	0.060	0.78

Name	\hat{I}	\hat{a}/mm
Matching		
EHBT:Q10	0.09	1.2
EHBT:Q11	0.66	8.5
EHBT:Q12	1.5	19.
EHBT:Q13	0.25	3.3
N-S Periodic		
EHBT:Q14–Q25	0.189	2.5
$2 \times 24^\circ$ Vert. Dogleg		
EHBT:Q26,Q33	0.19	2.4
EHBT:Q27,Q32	0.058	0.7
EHBT:Q28,Q31	0.10	1.3
EHBT:Q29,Q30	0.042	0.5
$2 \times 34^\circ$ Bend		
EHBT:Q34,Q41	0.3	3.6
EHBT:Q35,Q40	0.3	4.4
EHBT:Q36,Q39	0.04	0.6
EHBT:Q37,Q38	0.06	0.8

Name	\hat{I}	\hat{a}/mm
Single FODO Cell EHBT:Q42,Q43	0.26	3.4
$2 \times 22^\circ$ Dogleg EHBT:Q44,Q49	0.3	3.4
EHBT:Q45,Q48	0.04	0.5
EHBT:Q46,Q47	0.08	1.1
Matching to Target EHBT:Q50,Q54	0.24	3.1
EHBT:Q51,Q55	0.33	4.3
EHBT:Q52,Q56	0.05	0.6
EHBT:Q53,Q57	0.10	1.2

Thus we see that the smallest \hat{I} is 4%, so, applying the factor of 40, 0.1% power supply ripple is sufficient for all.

The smallest \hat{a} is 0.5 mm, so a pole positioning error of $50 \mu\text{m}$ is sufficient.