

Homework Exercise Set #4 PHYS 560

R. Baartman

January 30, 2019

Exercise 11: Expand the “Energy equation” to the non-relativistic limit $E - m_0c^2 \ll m_0c^2$ to confirm the “Newtonian” Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} |\vec{P} - q\vec{A}|^2 + q\Phi,$$

and also find the next-higher-order relativistic correction term.

Solution:

Let $E = E_V + m_0c^2$ where $E_V \ll m_0c^2$. In other words, the vernacular “energy”, the energy with rest energy subtracted, is much less than the rest energy. Then

$$m_0^2c^2 = \left(m_0c + \frac{E_V - q\Phi}{c} \right)^2 - |\vec{P} - q\vec{A}|^2.$$

To simplify notation, define $\varepsilon \equiv \frac{E_V - q\Phi}{m_0c^2}$, $\eta = \frac{|\vec{P} - q\vec{A}|}{m_0c}$:

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^2 = 1 + \eta^2$$

Clearly, $\varepsilon \ll 1$, $\eta^2 \ll 1$. So expand $\sqrt{1 + \eta^2} = 1 + \frac{\eta^2}{2} - \frac{\eta^4}{8} + \dots$, to get to second order:

$$\varepsilon \approx \frac{\eta^2}{2} - \frac{\eta^4}{8}$$

Now substitute from the definitions:

$$E_V \approx q\Phi + \frac{|\vec{P} - q\vec{A}|^2}{2m_0} - \frac{|\vec{P} - q\vec{A}|^4}{8m_0^3c^2}$$

The Hamiltonian H can be either E or E_V , it does not matter since m_0c^2 is a constant and the motion only depends upon derivatives of H .

Exercise 12: Use equations of motion along with the “Energy equation” to derive the Lorentz equation:

$$\frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} = q(\vec{\mathcal{E}} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})$$

where $\vec{\mathcal{E}} = -\nabla\Phi - \frac{\partial\vec{A}}{\partial t}$, and $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$. Notice that this equation is *unchanged* compared with the non-relativistic case.

Solution:

$$H = q\Phi + \sqrt{(m_0c^2)^2 + |\vec{P} - q\vec{A}|^2c^2}$$

$$v_x = \frac{\partial H}{\partial P_x} = \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{\dots}}(P_x - qA_x),$$

same for y and z , so

$$\vec{v} = \frac{c^2}{\sqrt{\dots}} \vec{p},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP_x}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = -q\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x} + \frac{qc^2}{\sqrt{\dots}} \left[(P_x - qA_x)\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + (P_y - qA_y)\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} + (P_z - qA_z)\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right] \\ &= -q\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x} + q \left(v_x \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} + v_z \frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Total derivative of A_x :

$$\frac{dA_x}{dt} = \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial x}v_x + \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y}v_y + \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z}v_z + \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t}$$

Subtract the last two:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q} \frac{dP_x}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial t} + v_y \left(\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial y} \right) + v_z \left(\frac{\partial A_z}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} \right) \\ &= \mathcal{E}_x + v_y B_z - v_z B_y = \mathcal{E}_x + (\vec{v} \times \vec{B})_x \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the y and z components. QED.

There is a quicker method if one knows vector identities. Since \vec{v} is not a field depending on x, y, z , we can write:

$$\nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}) = (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{A} + \vec{v} \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}),$$

or

$$\vec{v} \times \vec{B} = \nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}) - (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{A}$$

From the $\frac{dP_x}{dt}$ above,

$$\frac{1}{q} \frac{d\vec{P}}{dt} = -\nabla\Phi + \nabla(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{A}), \quad \frac{d\vec{A}}{dt} = \frac{\partial\vec{A}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \cdot \nabla)\vec{A}$$

Subtracting these two using $\vec{\mathcal{E}} = -\nabla\Phi - \frac{\partial\vec{A}}{\partial t}$ and the above vector identity gives the desired result.

Exercise 13: Demonstrate that the vector potential (54) correctly gives the magnetic field (53).

Solution:

The given vector potential:

$$A_s = -B_0\rho \left[\frac{x}{\rho} + \frac{1+k}{\rho^2} \frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{k}{\rho^2} \frac{y^2}{2} \right],$$

$$B_x = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial A_s}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{h} B_0\rho \frac{ky}{\rho^2} = \frac{B_0ky}{h\rho} \approx B_0ky/\rho$$

The last step is justified because we are only using linear forces and the neglected term is higher order.

$$B_y = -\frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial A_s}{\partial x} = \frac{B_0\rho}{h} \left[\frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1+k}{\rho^2} x \right] = \frac{B_0}{h} \left[1 + \frac{x}{\rho} + \frac{kx}{\rho} \right] \approx B_0 + B_0kx/\rho,$$

the last step because h cancels the $1 + x/\rho$, and again the approximate sign because we neglect forces higher than linear order.