

Design Note TRI-DN-15-28

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet

Confidential – Not for general release

Document Type: Design Note

Release:DRAFTRelease Date:2015/00/00

Author(s): George S. Clark, P.Eng.

	Name:	Review Scope	Signature:	Date:
Author:	George Clark, P.Eng			
Reviewed By:	Marco Marchetto			
	Jim Maloney			
	Rick Baartman			
	Doug Preddy			
	Franco Mammarella, P.Eng.			
	Isaac Earle, P.Eng.			
Accepted By:	Reiner Kruecken			
Approved By:	George Clark, P.Eng.			

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet				
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00		

History of Changes

Release Number	Date	Description of Changes	Author(s)
#	YYYY-MM-DD	DRAFT	George S. Clark, P.Eng

Distribution:

Keywords:

P0310, CANREB

Note: Before using a copy (electronic or printed) of this document you must ensure that your copy is identical to the released document, which is stored on TRIUMF's document server.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet				
Document-121247 Release No. DRAFT Release Date: 2015/00/00				

1	Abstract 4
2	Introduction
3	Base Specification
4	Ampere-Turn Calculation
5	Conductor Information
6	The Insulation System
7	Coil Cross-section Width
8	Magnet Size 6
9	Coil Cooling Calculations
10	Extra Cooling7
11	Stored Energy and Inductance
12	Sense Coil
13	Coil Height
14	Insulation Rating
15	Temperature Switches
16	Magnet Weight
17	Lifting the magnet
18	Structural Calculations
19	Magnetic Forces and Deflections
20	Segmenting the Side Yokes 15
21	Field Mapping15
22	Notes
23	Review
24	Summary 16
25	References16

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet				
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00		

1 Abstract

This Design Note describes the electrical and mechanical aspects of the ARIEL High Resolution Separator/Spectrometer [HRS] Dipole Magnet.

Note: Do not rely on the information contained in this note without first contacting the author to discuss your use of the information.

The information in this design note is CONFIDENTIAL.

2 Introduction

The radioactive ion beams from the ARIEL targets are to be analyzed, purified, and separated using two nearly identical dipole magnets. The beam optics of the spectrometer and the magnetic aspects of the magnet are described in [1]. The goal is to have a mass resolution of 1/20000.

Figure 1 shows a section through the magnet with its' vacuum chamber.

3 Base Specification

Bend Angle:	90	degrees horizontally
Reference trajectory radius:	1200	mm
Maximum Field:	4.7	kGauss
Main Gap:	70.0	mm (includes a vacuum chamber allowance)
Uniformity:		+- 5E-5 on the integrals thru the magnet +- 180 mm from
		the reference trajectory
Pole Shape:		Symmetrical sector with curved entrance and exit. Pole
		has a 6.7 mm Purcell Filter ¹ .
Magnet Form:		H-Frame
Coil Size constraint		< 185 mm vertically and < 81 mm horizontally
Operating Frequency:		DC
Power Supply constraint:		< 200 A and <~100 V
Environment:		North Basement of the ARIEL Building, standard epoxy
		fibreglass coils may be used.
Other Concerns:		provisions for temperature control/stability should be
		provided. The magnet must be small enough to be
		lowered down the shaft that leads to the ARIEL North
		Basement [7].

¹ A Purcell filter is an air gap or void between the pole and the yoke through which the flux must pass. The gap height is usually constant. It is used to make the field in the main gap more uniform.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet				
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00		

4 Ampere-Turn Calculation

From Banford [2, p.83]

$$4 \cdot \pi \cdot N \cdot I = 10 \cdot H\left(g + \left(\frac{s}{\mu}\right)\right)$$

where *H* is the field in gauss, *g* is the gap in cm, *s* is the path length in the steel (cm), and μ is the permeability. *N* is the number of turns of conductor in the magnet and *I* is the current (ampere) in the conductor. If we assume s/μ is 0.02 *g* and re-arrange we get:

$$N \cdot I = \frac{1.02 \cdot 10 \cdot H \cdot g}{4 \cdot \pi}$$

With the Purcell Filter $g = \sim (70 + 6.7 + 6.7) \text{ mm} = \sim 8.34 \text{ cm}$. H = 5000 gauss; N·I = ~33850 amp·turns for 2 coils, N·I = ~16925 amp·turns per coil.

In choosing the number of turns in the coil we refer to the following table.

Table 1 For 5 kGauss

I (Amperes)	200	192.3	188	176.3	173
N (Turns)	84.6	88	90	96	98

We choose a 7 turn wide by 14 turn tall coil giving 5 kGauss at about 173 A. The coil would be made up of 7 double pancakes each two layers thick.

5 Conductor Information

We choose a 10 mm x 10 mm square outside dimension hollow copper conductor. The specification for the conductor is

Table 2 Conductor size

Outside Dim.	10 ± 0.1	mm
Inside Diameter	4 ± 0.1	mm
Corner radius	1	mm

Copper alloy 102 dead soft fully annealed temper. This is a standard size [3]. Later we will see that this size of conductor is reasonable for these magnets.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet			
Document-121247 Release No. DRAFT Release Date: 2015/00/00			

6 The Insulation System

These dipoles will operate in a low radiation field. Therefore standard epoxy-fibreglass insulation will be used.

Turn-to-Turn Insulation: Wrap the conductor with one winding of 0.23 mm thick open weave E-type fibreglass tape half-lapped. The result is each conductor is wrapped with about 0.5 mm insulation and the turn-to-turn insulation is about 1 mm.

Ground Wrap Insulation: Wrap the coil with two windings of 0.23 mm thick open weave Etype fibreglass tape half-lapped. Avoid build-up of tape in corners. The nominal thickness is 0.92 mm. For coil sizing we will use 1 mm thick ground wrap.

Encapsulation: Vacuum Impregnation in a mould using a clear bisphenol A epoxy resin system.

7 Coil Cross-section Width

For most of its circumference the coil will be 7 turns wide.

		Min	Nominal	Max
		mm	mm	mm
Conductor	$7 \text{ x} (10 \pm 0.1) =$	69.3	70.0	70.7
Turn Insulation	$7 \ge 2 \ge 0.5$ =	7.0	7.0	7.0
Gaps	$(7-1) \ge 0.1 =$	0.0	0.3	0.6
Ground Wrap	2 x 1	2.0	2.0	2.0
Total		78.3	79.3	80.3

Table 3: Coil Width

We will use 79.3 ± 1 mm as the coil width except in the transition region. In the transition region some layers may be 8 turns wide (91.5 mm max. width.).

See Section 12 for a discussion of the coil height.

8 Magnet Size

Figures 2, 3, 4, and 5 show some of the dimensions of the magnet. Figure 2 shows a mid-plane section, Figure 3 shows a vertical section, and Figure 4 shows some details of the pole. Figure 5 shows how the pole is bolted to the yoke.

9 Coil Cooling Calculations

Figures 6 and 7 show the coil. The mean turn length of the coil is about 5553 mm. Appendix 1 shows calculations of the following quantities for the coil operating at 173 A.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

Resistance per coil (at 20C)	0.11	ohm
Resistance per coil (warm)	0.12	ohm
Inlet water temperature	30	С
Water Temperature Rise	20	С
Power per coil	3.54	kW
Voltage per coil (warm)	20.5	V
Cooling circuits per coil	7	
Cooling water flow per coil	2.54	litre/min
Pressure drop	~17	psi.
Coil weight	~941	lb.

The two magnets have a total of 4 coils = \sim 3800 lb.

Figure 9 is a schematic of the coil connections.

The coil tails could go at any of the four corners of the magnet. The "outer" corners are better suited to mounting the vacuum chamber supports, than the "inner" corners. Placing the coil tails at an inner corner gives more clearance when the magnet is lowered down the hatch. We will place the tails at the entrance "inner" corner of the magnet. This is near the small end of the vacuum chamber on the first magnet and near the big end of the vacuum chamber on the second magnet.

10 Extra Cooling

The possibility of extra cooling has been added to the coil to reduce the heat flow to the magnet steel. If the heat flow to the steel is reduced, we expect that thermal distortions of the magnet will be reduced.

Figure 7 shows a cross-section of the coil. A row of extra cooling tubes has been added to the coil between the conductors and the magnet steel. The tubes are 8 mm OD x 1 mm wall. Stainless steel was chosen for its low thermal conductivity. The water flowing through these extra cooling tubes is on a separately chilled loop.

Appendix 2 shows that with a flow of about 1.9 liters/min per coil of 17.5 C water, the heat flow to the steel is reduced from about 167 Watts to 2.4 Watts. This is almost a 99% reduction.

Details:

Tube size:	8 mm OD x 1 mm wall 316 Stainless
Water Flow:	1.9 liters/min per coil
Inlet Temp:	17.5 C
Outlet Temp:	26.5 C
Pressure Drop:	~26 psi.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

The cooling tubes will be grounded and should be insulated similar to the main conductor. Wrap the tube with one winding of 0.23 mm thick open weave E-type fibreglass half-lapped. Then wrap the tube pancake with one windings of 0.23 mm thick open weave E-type fibreglass half-lapped.

The cooling tube tails should be shorted together through a 1000 ohm 1 watt resistor. One tail should be shorted to ground.

In the Mass Separator room the inlet water temperature for the main coil will probably be about 30C held constant to about +- 0.25C. The room air temperature is probably at about 22C held constant to about +-2C [4]. We expect the inlet water temperature to the extra cooling tubes to be controlled to keep the magnet steel temperature constant.

Two other measures may be necessary to keep the magnet temperature constant—enclose the magnets in a tent and blow air through the magnet aperture.

11 Stored Energy and Inductance

At 158.4 A (0.458 T) the energy stored in the magnet (model 23C62eng) is 10827 Joules [5].

Inductance
$$L = \frac{2 \times Stored_Energy}{I^2}$$
 [6, p. 317]

L = 0.86 Henry.

The coil connections and coil tails shall be insulated where possible. Any bare live parts shall be protected to prevent anyone from inadvertently contacting them. The installer of the magnet shall provide and install a suitable enclosure to protect the coil connections.

The magnet's time constant is

$$\frac{L}{Rmagnet} = \frac{0.86 \, H}{0.22 \, ohm} = \sim 4 \, seconds \, [8, p. 265]$$

12 Sense Coil

Four measurement sources are under consideration for providing feedback signals to the power supply: a direct current current-transformer, a hall probe, an NMR probe, and a sense coil. A 20 turn sense coil may be used to sense fast changes in the magnetic field. The emf in a single turn sense coil is

$$emf = \int_{S} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} dS$$
 [6, p. 332]

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

If we assume dB/dt is uniform over surface S, then

$$emf = -\frac{dB}{dt}Ac$$

where Ac is the area inside the coil. If B = 0.46 Tesla and we want to measure a change of B/10000 in 0.1 seconds then dB/dt = 4.6E-5 T/s. Instead of the area of the coil, we will use the area of the base of the pole (1.41 m² for model 23C62eng9 [14]). For a one turn sense coil

$$V = 4.6 \times 10^{-5} \frac{T}{s} \times 1.41 \ m^2 = -6.5 \times 10^{-5} \ volt.$$

For a 20 turn coil $V = \sim 0.0013$ volt.

The change in the power supply current to correct dB/dt = 4.6E-5 T/s would be

$$4.6 \times 10^{-5} \frac{T}{s} \times \frac{158.4 \, A}{0.458 \, T} = 0.016 \, \frac{A}{s}.$$

The change in the power supply voltage would be

$$\Delta V = L \times \frac{dI}{dt} = 0.86 H \times 0.016 \frac{A}{s} = 0.014 \text{ volt.}$$

Sense coil details

Conductor:	14 AWG square copper
Bare conductor size	1.628 mm square [9, p.40]
Maximum Insulated size:	1.781 mm square heavy build [9, p.43]
Resistance	6.872 ohms/km (nominal) [9, p. 41]
Mean turn	~5500 mm
Length (20 turns)	~110 meters
Resistance (20 turns)	0.76 ohms

If the magnet were to trip off, a voltage would be induced in the sense coil. If no additional resistance was added, then the main coil current would decay like

$$I(t) = I_0 e^{-\frac{Rmagnet}{L}t}.$$

The maximum rate of change would be

$$-I_0 \frac{Rmagnet}{L}$$

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet			
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00	

The maximum rate of change of B would be

$$-\frac{0.458 T}{158.4 A} I_0 \frac{Rmagnet}{L} = -\frac{0.458 T}{158.4 A} \times 173 A \times \frac{0.22 ohm}{0.86 H} = -0.128 \frac{T}{s}$$

A magnet trip would induce about

$$V = 20 \ x \ 0.128 \ \frac{T}{s} \times 1.41 \ m^2 = 3.6 \ volt.$$

If the sense coil is not used, it should be shorted with a 1000 ohm resistor with power rating greater than 1 watt. One end of the sense coil should be shorted to ground.

The sense coil will be built into the lower coil, see figure 7.

13 Coil Height

The upper coil will be 14 layers high plus the extra cooling tubes.

		Min	Nominal	Max
		mm	mm	mm
Conductor	14 = (10 + 0.1)	120 6	140.0	141.4
Conductor	$14 \text{ X} (10 \pm 0.1)$	138.0	140.0	141.4
Turn Insulation	14 x 2 x 0.5	14.0	14.0	14.0
Gaps	(14-1) x 0.1	0.0	0.7	1.3
Keystoning	14 x 0.4	0.0	5.6	5.6
Ground Wrap	2 x 1	2.0	2.0	2.0
X-Cooling Tubes		8.0	8.0	8.0
X-Cooling Turn Insulation	1 x 2 x 0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
X-Cooling Ground wrap	2 x 0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total		164.6	172.3	174.3
Rubber Pad		6.0	6.0	6.0
Total + rubber		170.6	178.3	180.3

Table 4: Upper Coil Height

Keystoning of 0.4 mm per layer is based on a 40 mm minimum bend radius [10]. There will be a 6 mm thick rubber pad between each coil and the yoke. We will use 172.3 ± 2 mm for the height for the upper coil.

The lower coil also includes the sense coil.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet			
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00	

		Min	Nominal	Max
		mm	mm	mm
Conductor	$14 \text{ x} (10 \pm 0.1)$	138.6	140.0	141.4
Turn Insulation	14 x 2 x 0.5	14.0	14.0	14.0
Gaps	(14-1) x 0.1	0.0	0.7	1.3
Keystoning	14 x 0.4	0.0	5.6	5.6
Ground Wrap	2 x 1	2.0	2.0	2.0
X-Cooling Tubes		8.0	8.0	8.0
X-Cooling Turn Insulation	1 x 2 x 0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
X-Cooling Ground wrap	2 x 0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
Sense coil	14 AWG heavy build	1.7	1.7	1.8
0.5 mat		0.5	0.5	0.5
Total		166.8	174.5	176.6
Rubber Pad		6.0	6.0	6.0
Total + rubber		172.8	180.5	182.6

We will use 174.5 ± 2 mm for the height of the lower coil.

The pole height (pole face to yoke distance) is 190 mm. The pole height is 7 mm taller than the maximum size of the bottom coil+rubber.

14 Insulation Rating

The highest voltages the coils are likely to see are from the "High-Pot" test during manufacture. This test will be in the 1000 to 2000 Volt range. For the purposes of this section we will assume 2000 Volts. The coil design is more complicated than usual. There are three distances between the main conductor and ground:

Main conductor to the yoke	1.5 mm
Main conductor to extra cooling tube	1.5 mm
Main conductor to sense coil	1.07 mm = 0.042 inch

The insulation between the conductor and ground will experience a voltage stress of

2000 V / 0.042 inch = ~ 47,500 volt/inch = ~48 volt/mil

The coils are wound as double pancakes, 7 two layer double pancakes per coil, with 7 turns per layer. If an open circuit develops while the magnet is operating, it is possible that an arc will develop. If we assume the arc voltage is 2000 Volt, then the maximum inter-turn stress would be

2000 V x (14 / 98) / 0.039 inch = 7,326 volt/inch = ~8 volt/mil.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

NEMA grade G-10 and G-11 are epoxy-fiberglass insulation systems similar to the coil's insulation system. G-10 has a short time dielectric strength (0.063 inch) of 500 volts/mil [11, p.275]. The insulation specified should be sufficient.

15 Temperature Switches

Each coil shall be protected by normally closed temperature switches interlocked to the power supply. The switches shall open at 71C and re-close on falling temperature at about 60C. Radiation hard, hermetically sealed, switches like the KLIXON 4344-13 (stud mount) style [12] are recommended.

16 Magnet Weight

We calculate the magnet's weight below, based on OPERA-3D [13] model 23C62eng21 [14].

Quantity	Weight each	Weight
	Lb.	Lb.
2	4360	8720
2	8725	17450
1	2770	2770
1	2100	2100
4	110	440
2	950	1900
1	200	200
1	120	120
		33700
	Quantity 2 2 1 1 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Quantity Weight each Lb. 2 4360 2 8725 1 2770 1 2100 4 110 2 950 1 200 1 120

Table 6. Magnet Weight

17 Lifting the magnet

If we mount 3 lifting devices on the top yoke, equidistant from and centred on the center of gravity, then each device must be rated for at least

34000 lb / (3 x sin 45) = 16030 lb. [7290 kg]

The ADB 34402 Heavy Duty Hoist Ring is rated at 11000 kg and attaches with one M36x4 socket head bolt [15]. If the rigging angle is 45° or less, the horizontal load seen by each bolt will be less than

34000 lb / 3 = 11333 lb.

Appendix 3 shows the tensile stress area for M36x4 bolts is 795 mm² [1.233 inch²], so the shear stress due to the side load (ignoring thread stresses) is 11333 lb/1.233 inch² = 9192 psi.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

The vertical rigging load per bolt would be about

ADB recommends a preload torque of 1085.5 N.m. This provides a preload force of about 33897 lb. which is about 3 times the load lifted. The direct stress in the bolt would be about 33897 lb / 1.233 inch² = 27491 psi. Using a Mohr's circle type analysis (Fig. 10) the maximum shear and direct stresses would be

$$\tau_{max} = \sqrt{9192^2 + \left(\frac{27491}{2}\right)^2} = 16536 \ psi.$$
$$\sigma_{max} = \frac{27491}{2} + \tau_{max} = 30300 \ psi$$

The bolt has an ultimate tensile strength of 180000 psi. The bolt's factor of safety = $180000/30300 = \sim 5.9$.

We specify a thread engagement of 90 mm. This thread engagement makes the hoist ring a special order. The standard engagement is only 67 mm. Appendix 3 shows the static strength of the Nut threads to be 169000 lb. The nut's factor of safety = $169000 \text{ lb}/ 33897 \text{ lb} = \sim 5$.

The magnet will be constructed from ArcelorMittal USA HP Magnet Plate Steel [1]. In calculating the strength of the nut threads, we use the Yield Strength (25,000 psi.) and Tensile Strength (35,000 psi.) supplied by ArcelorMittal. These properties are not guaranteed; they "have been obtained through testing." [17]

18 Structural Calculations

The magnet is bolted together. When the magnet is lifted, the bolts taking the largest load, other than the hoist ring bolts, are the bolts connecting the top yoke to the side yokes. We assume the load is carried by 8 bolts, 4 to the outer side yokes, 4 to the inner side yokes. These are specified as M20 x 2.5 pitch x 150 long A4 (316 stainless) class 70 socket head capscrews. The thread engagement is 43 mm.

Load per bolt = \sim (34000 - 4360 - 8725) lb / 8 = 2615 lb.

Appendix 4 shows the static strength of the bolt is about 37000 lb and the static strength of the nut threads is about 43600 lb. Torque these bolts to 200 N.m.

The upper pole is suspended from the top yoke with ten M12 x 1.75 pitch x 150 long A4 (316 stainless) class 70 socket head capscrews. See figure 5. The thread engagement is 18 mm. Load per bolt = $4360 \text{ lb} / 10 = \sim 440 \text{ lb}$. Appendix 5 shows the static strength of the bolt is about 12635 lb and the static strength of the nut threads is about 10000 lb. Torque these bolts to 24 N.m.

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

19 Magnetic Forces and Deflections

OPERA-2D [13] was used to investigate the magnetic forces on the poles based on the 23C48 design. See Figure 8. The *net* magnetic force on each pole is 8.204 N/mm x 1200 mm x $\pi/2 = 15464$ N = 3477 lb. towards the closest horizontal yoke.

The attractive magnetic force between the poles is about 64.2 N/mm x 1200 mm x $\pi/2 = 121$ kN = 27214 lb.

For the upper yoke, the magnetic force and the gravity force act in the same direction. For the lower yoke, the magnetic force opposes the gravity force.

The top and bottom yokes are treated as fixed end beams. The magnetic forces at the joint with the side yokes will clamp the yokes together acting like a fixed end beam. The complex shapes of these yokes are simplified as shown in Figure 11.

To calculate the pole deflection, the pole was straightened out and treated as a rectangular beam. Each pole is supported at 10 places. Deflection calculations were made in both the long and short directions. See Figure 12.

Description	Deflection meters $+ = up$	Details in
Top Yoke deflection	-1.68E-6	Appendix 6
Top Pole deflection—Long direction	-1.37E-9	Appendix 7
Top Pole deflection—Short direction	-1.74E-8	Appendix 8
Total	-1.7E-6	

The tables below summarize the deflection calculations:

		D . 11 .
Description	Deflection meters $+ = up$	Details in
Bottom Yoke deflection	7.15E-7	Appendix 6
Bottom Pole deflection—Long direction	-8.85E-8	Appendix 7
Bottom Pole deflection—Short direction	-1.18E-6	Appendix 8
Total	-5.5E-7	

These deflections should be compared to the flatness tolerance on machining the poles (10 micrometer flatness over +- the 200mm good field region). The flatness tolerance was determined by negotiation [16].

Electro-m	nechanical Aspects of the ARIEL	-II HRS Dipole Magnet
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

20 Segmenting the Side Yokes

To save material costs, the side yokes will be segmented as shown in figure 13. If the side yokes are not segmented, the steel needed is thicker than the mill can produce. Segmenting reduces the thickness, and reduces the volume of steel needed by about 43%.

21 Field Mapping

Each magnet is to be field mapped twice as part of acceptance tests at the magnet factory [18]. The first mapping is to be fast with a coarse spacing (20 mm) between measurements, the second mapping is to have a smaller spacing (5 mm) between measurements. The coarse map is expected to take less than a day and avoid any drift problems that might show up in the fine map.

Figure 14 shows the region to be mapped and a typical mapping/integration path. The size and shape of the magnet makes it difficult to map from one side, so mapping from two sides with overlap is expected. Integrals of B along each path are compared to the expected values for the path. For acceptance the Field Integral Error must be < 1E-4.

Field Integral Error =
$$\left| \frac{\left[\int Bmeas \ dl \right] - \left[\int B \ dl \right]_{expected}}{\left[\int B \ dl \right]_{expected}} \right|$$

 $\left[\int B \ dl \right]_{expected} = B(0,1200) * Li(Ri)$

$$Li(Ri) = Hard Edge Length of the magnet at radius Ri$$

The beam physics group is considering developing a more accurate method of measuring the field integrals once the magnets arrive at TRIUMF.

22 Notes

This design is to be used at TRIUMF's Main Wesbrook Site only. During operation the yoke shall be grounded in accordance with the Canadian Electrical Code. The coil connections shall be guarded as noted above.

The power supply and the current leads should be designed to deliver at least 200 A and 48 volts *at the magnet*.

Both the overall assembly and the coil drawings should note that

- 1. the maximum current is 200A
- 2. a cooling water flow of at least 2.54 litre/minute per coil is needed at 173 A. (3.4 litre/min at 200 A).

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

23 Review

Franco Mammarella, P.Eng, reviewed the electrical aspects of this design. Isaac Earle, P.Eng, reviewed the mechanical and structural aspects of the design.

24 Summary

Air Gap	70.0	mm
Maximum Field	0.47	Tesla
Effective Length at 1200 mm R	1.885	m
Bend Angle	90.0	degrees
Bend Radius	1.2	meter
Pole Shape	symmetrical s	sector with curved entrance and exit
Coil mean turn length	5.553	m
Turns/coil	98	
Coil Array (W x H)	7 x 14	
Cooling	7	cct./coil
Top Yoke thickness	205	mm
Weight per coil	950	lb.
Weight steel assembly	31540	lb.
Weight overall assembly	34000	lb.
Maximum DC Current	200	A
Average Coil Temp at 173 A	40	С
Magnet Resistance at 40C	0.24	ohm
Magnet Resistance at 20C	0.22	ohm
Magnet Water Flow at 173 A	5.1	liter/minute
Water Pressure Drop	18	psi.
Magnet Power at 173A	7.1	Kilowatt
Magnet Inductance	0.86	Н
Magnet Voltage at 173 A	41	V

25 References

- 1. Jim A. Maloney, Marco Marchetto, and Rick Baartman, ARIEL High Resolution Separator, TRIUMF Design Note TRI-DN-14-06 release 3 (June 22, 2015).
- 2. A.P. Banford, <u>The Transport of Charged Particle Beams</u>, E. & F. N. Spon Ltd., London (1966).
- 3. <u>http://www.luvata.com/en/Products/Special-Products/Hollow-Conductors/Square-with-square-hole/</u> (27/03/2013 9:54:52 AM).
- 4. Bill Richert, Email to George Clark, "Re: [CANREB-HRS] Water & Air Temperature in the ARIEL Mass Separator Room" (9/12/2014).
- 5. Marco Marchetto, Email to George Clark, "HRS-120-23c62eng-energy" (4/13/2015).
- 6. William Hayt, <u>Engineering Electromagnetics</u>, 3rd Ed., McGraw-Hill (1974).
- 7. Andrew Seaton, P.Eng. (Bush, Bohlman, & Partners), ARIEL Building, Ground Floor Plan @ El. 289/293.75, Structural, TRIUMF Drawing 17753 (2012-02-08).

Electro-mechanical Aspects of the ARIEL-II HRS Dipole Magnet		
Document-121247	Release No. DRAFT	Release Date: 2015/00/00

- 8. Slurzberg & Osterheld, <u>Essentials of Electricity—Electronics</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York (1965).
- 9. <u>Essex Magnet Wire Engineering Data</u>, Essex Group, Inc., Fort Wayne, Indiana (1996).
- 10. Chris Philpott (Buckley Systems Ltd.), Email to George Clark, "RE: [CANREB-HRS] Sense Coil" (4/29/2015).
- 11. Tillar Shugg, <u>Handbook of Electrical and Electronic Insulating Materials</u>, 2nd Ed., IEEE Press (1995).
- 12. Precision Products, Sensata Technologies, Inc., Attleboro, MA.
- 13. Cobham Technical Services, Vector Fields Software, Oxfordshire, UK.
- 14. Marco Marchetto, Email to George Clark, "HRS-120-23C62eng21" (6/16/2015).
- 15. Heavy Duty® Hoist Rings Metric, http://www.americandrillbushing.com/-c-1_5.html, American Drill Bushings Company, Valdosta, GA (5/25/2015).
- 16. Rotem Gazit, Email to Ryan Parker (Buckley Systems Ltd.), "RE: Teleconference" (8/20/2014).
- 17. ArcelorMital USA HP Magnet Plate Steel Brochure, <u>http://usa.arcelormittal.com/globalassets/arcelormittal-usa/what-we-do/steel/plate/plate-product-brochures/201309_magnet.pdf</u>, accessed: (May 29, 2015).
- 18. Rick Baartman, Marco Marchetto, and Jim Maloney, Private Communications (June, 2015).





















JUN 1 9 2015

CONFIDENTIAL



Figure 10. Mohr's Circle for the Hoist Ring Bolt. Fig10.dwg GSC June 1, 2015



Simplifying the Top/Bottom Yoke shape. Figure 11.

Fig11.dwg GSC June 19, 2015









Figure 14. Field Map Region

Fig14_FieldMap.dwg GSC June 10, 2015

Appendix 1. HRS Coil Calculations CONFIDENTIAL Given:

ven:		Version = 4.9s
$N_{CoolingCets} := 7$	Number of cooling circuits per coil	
$N_{Turns} := 7 \cdot 14 = 98$	Number of turns per coil	
$N_{Corners} := 4$	Number of corners per turn	
I := 173-amp	Current in coil	
$L_{MeanTurn} := 5553 \cdot mm$	Length of mean turn in coil	$L_{MeanTurn} = 5.553 \cdot m$
$L_{tail} := 14.500 \cdot mm$	Coil tail Allowance	
$C_w := 10 \cdot mm$	Conductor width	$C_w = 10 \cdot mm$
$C_h := C_w$	Conductor height	
$C_r := 1 \cdot mm$	Conductor Corner Radius	$C_r = 1 \cdot mm$
$D_{hole} := 4 \cdot mm$	Diameter of cooling channel hole	$D_{hole} = 4 \cdot mm$
$K_{Anaconda} := 0.0$	Anaconda flow factor; use 0.0 if unkne	own
fwater := 1	Fraction of heat going into water	
$T_{in} \coloneqq 30 \cdot C$	Inlet water temperature	
$\Delta_{\mathrm{T}} \coloneqq 20 \cdot \mathrm{C}$	Cooling water temprature rise	

Constants:

† , ਤ

$C \equiv 1$		
$\rho_{20} \coloneqq 1.7241 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \text{ohm} \cdot \text{cm}$	Volume resistivity of IACS 100% Copper at 20C	[1,p.4-4]
$\alpha_{20} := 0.00393$	Temperature-Resistance Co-eff. IACS 100% Cu 20C	[1,p.4-9]
$\rho_{cu} := 8.94 \cdot \frac{gm}{cm^3}$	Density of Copper [11, p.275]	

Calculated Values:

$$\begin{split} A_c &:= C_w \cdot C_h - (4 - \pi) \cdot C_r^2 - \frac{\pi \cdot D_{hole}^2}{4} & \text{Conductor copper c/s area} & A_c = 0.866 \cdot \text{cm}^2 \\ w &:= \rho_{cu} \cdot A_c & \text{Conductor weight/unit length} & w = 0.52 \cdot \frac{lb}{ft} \\ L_c &:= N_{Turns} \cdot L_{MeanTurn} + L_{tail} & \text{Conductor Length per coil} & L_c = 5.512 \times 10^4 \cdot \text{cm} \\ \text{Wcoil} &:= L_c \cdot w & \text{Weight of conductor in coil} & \text{Wcoil} = 940.524 \cdot lb \end{split}$$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_1_coilcond.xmcd

1

.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Tavg} \coloneqq T_{in} + \frac{\Delta_T}{2} & \mbox{Average water temperature} & \mbox{Tavg} = 40 \cdot C \\ \\ \rho_{Tavg} \coloneqq \rho_{20} \cdot \left[1 + \alpha_{20} \cdot (Tavg - 20) \right] & \mbox{[1,p.4-8]} & \mbox{$\rho_{Tavg}} = 1.86 \times 10^{-6} \cdot \mbox{ohm} \cdot \mbox{cm} \\ \\ R_{Tavg} \coloneqq \rho_{Tavg} \cdot \frac{L_c}{A_c} & \mbox{Coil Resistance at Tavg} & R_{Tavg} = 0.118 \cdot \mbox{ohm} \\ \\ R_{20} \coloneqq \rho_{20} \cdot \frac{L_c}{A_c} & \mbox{Coil Resistance at 20C} & R_{20} = 0.11 \cdot \mbox{ohm} \\ \\ Power \coloneqq 1^2 \cdot R_{Tavg} & \mbox{Coil Power} & Power = 3543.4 \cdot \mbox{watt} \\ \\ Voltage \coloneqq 1 \cdot R_{Tavg} & \mbox{Coil Voltage} & Voltage = 20.48 \cdot \mbox{volt} \\ \end{array}$$

Average water temperature

Specific Heat of water [2,p.D-158]:

$$C_{p}(T) := 4.18 \cdot \frac{\text{joule}}{\text{gm} \cdot \text{C}}$$

 $Flow := \frac{Power \cdot fwater}{C_p(Tavg) \cdot \Delta_T \cdot \rho_{water}(Tavg) \cdot N_{CoolingCcts}}$

Required cooling water flow per cooling circuit

Tavg = $40 \cdot C$

Flow =
$$6.055 \cdot \frac{\text{cm}^3}{\text{sec}}$$
Flow = $0.363 \cdot \frac{\text{liter}}{\text{min}}$ Flow = $0.096 \cdot \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{min}}$ $A_{\text{hole}} \coloneqq \frac{\pi \cdot D_{\text{hole}}^2}{4}$ Area of cooling channel hole $A_{\text{hole}} = 0.126 \cdot \text{cm}^2$ $\text{Velocity} \coloneqq \frac{\text{Flow}}{A_{\text{hole}}}$ Water velocity $\text{Velocity} = 0.482 \cdot \frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}$ $\text{Velocity} = 1.581 \cdot \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{sec}}$

Tanabe [12, p. 118] recommends that velocity should be less than or equal to 4 m/sec "to avoid flow vibration and erosion of the conductor coolant passage." The Canadian Copper & Brass Development Association recomments flow velocities less than 1.5 m/sec for hot water up to 60C and less than 1.2 m/sec over 60C. Ref CCBDA-IS 97-02

 $FlowPerCoil := Flow \cdot N_{CoolingCcts} = 2.543 \cdot \frac{liter}{min}$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_1_coilcond.xmcd

2

Water Viscosity [2,p.F-49]:

$$\mu_{\text{water}}(T) := \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \text{if } T < 20 \\ \frac{1.3272 \cdot (20 - T) - \left[.001053 \cdot (T - 20)^2\right]}{(T + 105)} \cdot \frac{gm}{cm \cdot sec} & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\mu_{\text{water}}(\text{Tavg}) = 6.53 \times 10^{-3} \cdot \frac{gm}{cm \cdot sec}$$

$$\text{Re} := \frac{\rho_{\text{water}}(\text{Tavg}) \cdot \text{Velocity} \cdot \text{D}_{\text{hole}}}{\mu_{\text{water}}(\text{Tavg})} \quad \text{Reynolds Number} \quad \text{Re} = 2.952 \times 10^3 \quad [3, p.40]$$

$$\text{Drawn tubing roughness: } er := 5 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \text{in } \text{Relative roughness: } \text{RR} := \frac{er}{D_{\text{hole}}} \quad [3, p.363]$$

$$f0 := 0.25 \cdot \log \left(\frac{\text{RR}}{3.7} + \frac{5.74}{\text{Re}^{0.9}}\right)^{(-2)} \qquad \text{Miller's initial estimate of friction factor} \quad [3, p.364]$$

$$f_c := \left(-2 \cdot \log \left(\frac{\text{RR}}{3.7} + \frac{2.51}{\text{Re} \cdot f^{0}} \cdot 0.5\right)\right)^{-2} \qquad \text{Colebrook formula for friction factor} \quad [3, p.364]$$

$$f0 = 0.045 \qquad f_c = 0.044$$

$$f (\text{Re}) := \left| \frac{64}{\text{Re}} \quad \text{if } (\text{Re} < 2000) \text{aminar flow friction factor} \qquad f (\text{Re}) = 0.044$$

$$L_w := L_c + N_{\text{Turns}} \cdot N_{\text{Corners}} \cdot 30 \cdot D_{\text{hole}} \qquad \text{Effective water length} \qquad L_w = 598.234 \cdot \text{m}$$

$$h_{L} := \frac{f (\text{Re}) \cdot L_{w} \cdot \text{Velocity}^{2}}{D_{\text{hole}} \cdot 2 \cdot N_{\text{CoolingCcts}}}$$
 head loss [3,p.361]
$$h_{L} = 1.081 \times 10^{6} \cdot \frac{\text{cm}^{2}}{\text{sec}^{2}}$$
$$\Delta_{p} := h_{L} \cdot \rho_{\text{water}}(\text{Tavg})$$
 Pressure drop
$$\Delta_{p} = 15.7 \cdot \text{psi}$$

Calculate the pressure drop the Anaconda way [4]

$$K_{\text{Otter}} := 0.0033605 \cdot \left(\frac{D_{\text{hole}}}{\text{in}}\right)^{-1.2119}$$
 [5] $K_{\text{Otter}} = 0.032$

$$K := if(K_{Anaconda} = 0, K_{Otter}, K_{Anaconda}) \qquad K = 0.032$$

$$\Delta_{p2} := \begin{cases} K \cdot \left[\frac{Velocity}{\left(\frac{ft}{sec}\right)} \right]^{1.79} \cdot \left(\frac{L_c}{ft \cdot N_{CoolingCcts}} \right) \cdot psi & \text{if } Re \ge 2320 \end{cases} \text{ Pressure drop} \\ (0 \cdot psi) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad \Delta_{p2} = 18.5 \cdot psi \end{cases}$$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_1_coilcond.xmcd

6/2/2015 11:19 AM

$$L_c = 1.808 \times 10^3 \cdot ft$$

 $Re = 2.952 \times 10^3$
 $\Delta_{p2} = 1.277 \times 10^5 \cdot \frac{newton}{m^2}$

Heat Transfer to Water

Power/Area Pow_per_area :=
$$\frac{Power}{\pi \cdot D_{hole} \cdot L_c}$$
 Pow_per_area = $0.051 \cdot \frac{watt}{cm^2}$

Ref[8, p. 39-40] reports conductor burnout at a flux of about 1 kW/cm2. Ref[8, p.42] reports that "For pool boiling in water the critical flux is about 120 W/cm2....In narrow channels...under forced convection and with sub-cooling, higher fluxes can be attained, perhaps by a factor of 10 or so with water."

Thermal Conductivity of Water (from 0C to 100C) based on [2,p.E-11]

$$k(T) := \left(0.56049 + 0.001989 \cdot T - 7.7765 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot T^{2}\right) \cdot \frac{watt}{m \cdot C} \qquad k(Tavg) = 0.628 \cdot \frac{watt}{m \cdot C}$$

$$Pr := \frac{C_{p}(Tavg) \cdot \mu_{water}(Tavg)}{k(Tavg)} \qquad Pr = 4.349 \qquad [6,p.239]$$

$$Nu_{L2} := 4.36 \qquad Nu \text{ at } Re = 2000 \qquad Nu_{L2} = 4.36 \qquad [6,p.225]$$

$$Nu_{T8} := 0.023 \cdot 8000^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.33} \qquad Nu \text{ at } Re = 8000 \qquad Nu_{T8} = 49.53 \qquad [6,p.241]$$

$$C_{tr} := 1.33 - \frac{Re}{6000} \qquad Transitional Flow Co-efficient \qquad C_{tr} = 0.838 \qquad [7,p.472]$$

$$Re = 2.952 \times 10^{3}$$

$$C_{PR} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } (Pr > 0.5) = (Pr < 100) \end{bmatrix} C_{PR} = 1$$

Nusselt Number Nu

Nu :=
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ C_{PR} \cdot (0.023 \cdot Re^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.33}) & \text{if } Re \ge 8000 \\ C_{tr} \cdot Nu_{L2} + (1 - C_{tr}) \cdot Nu_{T8} & \text{if } Re < 8000 \\ 4.36 & \text{if } Re < 2000 \\ \end{bmatrix}$$
 [6,p.225]

Nu = 11.675

hbar := Nu $\cdot \frac{k(Tavg)}{D_{hole}}$	Average convection heat-transfer coefficient at fluid to solid interface.	[6,p.223]
hbar = $1831.9 \cdot \frac{\text{watt}}{\text{m}_{\cdot}^2 \cdot \text{C}}$		

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_1_coilcond.xmcd

6/2/2015 11:19 AM

Accoring to [6,p.15 table 1-2] Approximate Values of hbar (Forced convection, water) is in the range 50 to 10,000 w/(m² C). Higher values are associated with boiling water.

Detla T between Water and Copper

$$DT := \frac{Pow_per_area}{hbar} \qquad DT = 0.279 \qquad [6, p.15]$$

Current Density
$$\frac{I}{A_c} = 1.998 \cdot \frac{amp}{mm^2}$$

Ref [9. p.67] recommends that "in water cooled hollow copper conductors, the current density should not exceed the order of 30 A/mm2."

References:

1. Donald Fink & Wayne Beaty Ed., <u>Standard Handbook for Electrical Engineers</u> 12th Ed., McGraw-Hill, 1987.

2. Robert Weast Ed., Handbook of Chemistry and Physics 56th Ed., CRC Press, 1974

3. Robert Fox & Alan McDonald, Introduction to Fluid Mechanics 3rd Ed., John Wiley & Sons, 1985.

4. Anaconda Technical Publication 56, Anaconda American Brass Company, 1968

5. Alan J Otter, Private Communication, 1995

6. Frank Kreith & William Black, Basic Heat Transfer, Harper & Row, New York, 1980.

7. Lindon Thomas, <u>Heat Transfer-Professional Version</u>, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1993.

8. David Parkinson & Brian Mulhall, <u>The Generation of High Magnetic Fields</u>, Plenum Press, New York, 1967 (QC 757 P38)

9. Klaus G. Steffen, <u>High Energy Beam Optics</u>, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1965 (QC 447 S65 1965)

10. Mathcad 15.0, Parametric Technology Corporation, Needham, MA, USA.

11. Hugh Baker Ed., Metals Handbook Ninth Edition, Volume 2 Properties and Selection:

Nonferrous Alloys and Pure Metals, American Society for Metals, Metals Park, Ohio, 1979.

12. Jack Tanabe, Iron Dominated Electromagnets, World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.

Appendix 2. Extra Cooling coil for HRS magnet coil CONFIDENTIAL 8 mm OD

6 mm ID 8 tubes

revised July 3, 2015

These calculations assume some temperatures and calculate heat flows. The temperature are re-calculated and then iteratively adjusted by hand to be consistent.

The assumed temperatures are

Air temperature	set from discussions with Bill Richert
Copper temperature	based on coil cooling. We assume a
	coil cooling water inlet temp = 30C and a delta T
	of 20C in a double pancake. The average
	temperature of the cold pancake should be
	30 + (20/4) = 35C.
Stainless tube OD te	mperature. Guess and iterate.
Inlet water temp	The extra cooling will be on a separate cooling
•	loop from the coil. It will be chilled water with inlet

loop from the coil. It will be chilled water with inlet temperature \geq 13C. Set so that the average water temperature = the Air temperature. [In reality, one would control the water flow and/or

temperature to hold the magnet steel temperature constant.]

Change in water temperature...Set so that the water flow and pressure drop are acceptable.

See figures 6 and A2 1.

 $T_{air} := 22 \circ C$ Air Temperature

 $T_{copper} := 35 \ ^{\circ}C$ Copper temperature

- Stainless tube OD temperature -- Iterated $T_{SSod} := 22.46 \ ^{\circ}C$ See NT.SSod on page 8.
- Inlet Water Temperature >= 13 C $T_{Win} := 17.5 \ ^{\circ}C$

Change in water temperature $\Delta T_{w} := 9 \cdot K$

 $Tw_{avg} := T_{Win} + \frac{\Delta T_W}{2} = 22 \cdot ^{\circ}C$ Average Water Temp; want = Tair

$$k_{G10} \coloneqq 0.288 \cdot \frac{watt}{m \cdot \Delta^{\circ}C}$$
Thermal conductivity of G10 from matweb.com
$$k_{SS} \coloneqq 14.4 \cdot \frac{watt}{m \cdot \Delta^{\circ}C}$$
Thermal conductivity of SS304 [6, p.511]

$k_{rubber} \coloneqq 0.465 \cdot \frac{W}{m \cdot \Delta^{\circ} C}$	Thermal conductivity of Rubber [6, p.512]
$x1 := 5.5 \cdot mm$	Tube centerline depth, Distance from copper conductor to tube centerline. see sketch
$D := 8 \cdot mm$	Tube OD
$ID := 6 \cdot mm$	Tube ID
Ntubes := 8	
Lturn := 5553·mm	Water flow length per turn
$L_{contact} := 3830 \cdot mm$	estimated Coil Steel contact length based on 23C62eng21 model (revised 150703)
$t_{rubber} \coloneqq 6 \cdot mm$	Rubber thickness
w _{rubber} := 66.6 ⋅ mm	Rubber width

For heat flow thru the G10 to the SS tube use Equations for heat loss from buried objects and Cavities in Handbook of Heat Transfer, Edited by Rohsenow & Hartnett McGraw-Hill 1973. [1, Table 7, p. 3-121] Infinite circular hole in semi-infinite solid. Use the "x is about equal to D" formula qprime is q per unit length

$$qprimeG10 := \frac{2 \cdot \pi}{a \cosh\left(\frac{2 \cdot x1}{D}\right)} \cdot k_{G10} \cdot \left(T_{copper} - T_{SSod}\right) = 27 \cdot \frac{W}{m}$$

Heat flow thru G10

qprimeG10·Lturn·Ntubes = 1198.62 W

E:\ARIEL\HRS\ExtraCooling\extra_ 8mm_7x14.xmcd Heat Flow through the stainless tube wall is based on Kreith & Black [6, p.54-55]

$$q := \frac{(Ti - To) \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot k \cdot l}{ln\left(\frac{ro}{ri}\right)}$$
[6, eqn 2-34,p.55]

In our case q is reduced by 2 because only the top half of the tube is working/active. qprime = q/I. Re-arrange to get:

$$\Delta T_{SS} := \frac{\text{qprimeG10} \cdot 2 \cdot \ln\left(\frac{D}{ID}\right)}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot k_{SS}} = 0.172 \text{ K}$$

$$T_{SSid} := T_{SSod} - \Delta T_{SS} = 22.288 \cdot ^{\circ}C$$

Temperature of tube's inside wall

Heat Flow to magnet steel from the tube OD to the steel, thru the G10 and rubber pad. Use the electric analog: $q = delltaT/Sum_Thermal_Resistances$

 $T_{\text{Steel}} := T_{\text{air}} = 22 \cdot ^{\circ}C$ x2 := 6·mm Tube centerline to outside surface distance

$$qprimeSteel := \frac{\left(T_{SSod} - T_{Steel}\right)}{\left(\frac{a\cosh\left(\frac{2 \cdot x^{2}}{D}\right)}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot k_{G10}}\right) + \frac{t_{rubber}}{k_{rubber} \cdot w_{rubber}}} = 0.634 \cdot \frac{W}{m}$$

HeatFlowToSteel := qprimeSteel $\cdot L_{contact} = 2.4 \text{ W}$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\ExtraCooling\extra_ 8mm_7x14.xmcd

Water Calculations

Power is power into the water.

Power := $qprimeG10 \cdot (Lturn \cdot Ntubes) - HeatFlowToSteel = 1196 W$ $\rho_{\text{water}} := 1.00 \cdot \frac{\text{gm}}{\text{cm}^3}$ Density of Water $C_p := 4.18 \cdot \frac{\text{joule}}{\text{gm} \cdot \Delta^{\circ} \text{C}}$ Specific Heat of water Flow := $\frac{\text{Power}}{C_{\text{p}} \cdot \Delta T_{\text{w}} \cdot \rho_{\text{water}}} = 3.18 \times 10^{-5} \frac{\text{m}^3}{\text{s}}$ Required cooling water flow per cooling circuit Flow = $31.797 \cdot \frac{\text{cm}^3}{\text{sec}}$ Flow = $1.908 \cdot \frac{\text{liter}}{\text{min}}$ Flow = $0.504 \cdot \frac{\text{gal}}{\text{min}}$ $D_{hole} := ID$ $A_{\text{hole}} \coloneqq \frac{\pi \cdot \text{ID}^2}{4}$ Area of cooling $A_{hole} = 0.283 \cdot cm^2$ channel hole Velocity := $\frac{\text{Flow}}{A_{holo}}$ Water Velocity = $1.12 \cdot \frac{\text{m}}{\text{sec}}$ velocity Velocity = $3.69 \cdot \frac{\text{ft}}{\text{sec}}$ Tanabe [10, p. 118] recommends that velocity should be less than or equal to

Tanabe [10, p. 118] recommends that velocity should be less than or equal to 4 m/sec "to avoid flow vibration and erosion of the conductor coolant passage."

The Canadian Copper & Brass Development Association recommends flow velocities less than 1.5 m/sec for hot water up to 60C and less than 1.2 m/sec over 60C. Ref CCBDA-IS 97-02

Water Viscosity based on [2,p.F-49]:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{water}(T) &:= \left| \begin{array}{c} T2 \leftarrow \frac{T}{K} - 273.15 \\ 0 \quad \text{if } T2 < 20 \\ \hline 1.3272 \cdot (20 - T2) - \left[.001053 \cdot (T2 - 20)^2 \right] \\ 0.01002 \cdot 10 \end{array} \right| \underbrace{1.3272 \cdot (20 - T2) - \left[.001053 \cdot (T2 - 20)^2 \right] }_{(T2 + 105)} \cdot \underbrace{gm}_{cm \cdot sec} \quad \text{otherwise} \\ \\ \mu_{water}(Tw_{avg}) &= 0.00955 \cdot \underbrace{gm}_{cm \cdot sec} \quad Tw_{avg} = 22 \cdot ^{\circ}C \\ Re &:= \frac{\rho_{water} \cdot \text{Velocity} \cdot \text{D}_{hole}}{\mu_{water}(Tw_{avg})} \quad \text{Reynolds} \quad \text{Re} = 7066.5 \quad [3, p.40] \\ \text{Drawn tubing} \quad er &:= 5 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \text{in} \quad [3, p.363] \\ \text{roughness:} \quad RR &:= \frac{er}{D_{hole}} \quad [3, p.363] \\ \text{fo} &:= 0.25 \cdot \log \left(\frac{RR}{3.7} + \frac{5.74}{Re^{(0.9)}} \right)^{(-2)} \quad \text{Miller's initial estimate of} \quad [3, p.364] \\ f_c &:= \left(-2 \cdot \log \left(\frac{RR}{3.7} + \frac{2.51}{Re \cdot r0^{0.5}} \right) \right)^{-2} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Colebrook formula for} \\ roten factor \\ roten 0.034 \end{array} \quad [3, p.364] \\ f(Re) &:= \left| \frac{64}{Re} \quad \text{if} \quad (Re < 2000) \\ f_c &= 0.034 \end{array} \right| \quad \text{laminar flow friction factor} \\ roten factor \\ roten factor \\ roten 0.054 \end{array}$$

f(Re) = 0.034

E:\ARIEL\HRS\ExtraCooling\extra_ 8mm_7x14.xmcd

$$\begin{array}{ll} L_{w} \coloneqq \text{Ntubes} \cdot \text{Lturn} & \text{water length} & L_{w} = 44.424 \cdot \text{m} \\ \\ h_{L} \coloneqq \frac{f(\text{Re}) \cdot L_{w} \cdot \text{Velocity}^{2}}{D_{hole} \cdot 2} & \text{head loss} \\ \Delta_{p} \coloneqq h_{L} \circ \rho_{water} & \text{Pressure} \\ \text{drop} \\ [3, p.360] & \Delta_{p} = 23 \cdot \text{psi} \end{array}$$

Calculate the pressure drop the Anaconda way [4]

$$K_{\text{Otter}} := 0.0033605 \cdot \left(\frac{D_{\text{hole}}}{\text{in}}\right)^{-1.2119}$$
 [5] $K_{\text{Otter}} = 0.019$

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{p2} \coloneqq \left| \begin{array}{c} K_{Otter} \cdot \left[\frac{Velocity}{\left(\frac{ft}{sec} \right)} \right]^{1.79} \cdot \left(\frac{L_w}{ft} \right) \cdot psi \quad \text{if } Re \geq 2320 \\ (0 \cdot psi) \quad \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right|^{1.79} \cdot \left(\frac{L_w}{ft} \right) \cdot psi \quad \text{if } Re \geq 2320 \\ \Delta_{p2} = 29.1 \cdot psi \end{split}$$

$$Re = 7066.5$$

Heat Transfer to Water

Power/Area Pow_per_area :=
$$\frac{Power}{\pi \cdot D_{hole} \cdot L_{w}}$$
 Pow_per_area = $0.143 \cdot \frac{watt}{cm^2}$

Ref[8, p. 39-40] reports conductor burnout at a flux of about 1 kW/cm2. Ref[8, p.42] reports that "For pool boiling in water the critical flux is about 120 W/cm2....In narrow channels...under forced convection and with sub-cooling, higher fluxes can be attained, perhaps by a factor of 10 or so with water." Thermal Conductivity of Water (from 0C to 100C) based on [2,p.E-11]

$$k(T) := \left[-0.550582 + 0.006165 \cdot \frac{T}{K} - 7.668998 \cdot 10^{-6} \cdot \left(\frac{T}{K}\right)^2 \right] \cdot \frac{W}{m \cdot K}$$

$$k(Tw_{avg}) = 0.601 \frac{1}{K} \cdot \frac{watt}{m}$$
 $Tw_{avg} = 295.15 K$

$$Pr := \frac{C_{p} \cdot \mu_{water}(Tw_{avg})}{k(Tw_{avg})} = 6.642$$
[6,p.239]

 $Nu_{L2} := 4.36$ Nu at Re=2000 [6,p.225]

$$Nu_{T8} := 0.023 \cdot 8000^{0.8} \cdot Pr^{0.33} = 56.957$$
 Nu at Re=8000 [6,p.241]

$$C_{tr} := 1.33 - \frac{\text{Re}}{6000} = 0.152$$
Transitional Flow [7,p.472]
Co-efficient

$$Re = 7.067 \times 10^3$$

$$C_{PR} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } (Pr > 0.5) = (Pr < 100) \end{bmatrix}$$
 $C_{PR} = 1$

Nusselt Number Nu

Nu = 48.95

E:\ARIEL\HRS\ExtraCooling\extra_ 8mm_7x14.xmcd

hbar :=
$$Nu \cdot \frac{k(Tw_{avg})}{D_{hole}}$$

Average convection heat-transfer [6,p.223] coefficient at fluid to solid interface.

hbar = 4902.7
$$\frac{1}{K} \cdot \frac{\text{watt}}{\text{m}^2}$$

According to [6,p.15 table 1-2] Approximate Values of hbar (Forced convection, water) is in the range 50 to $10,000 \text{ w/(m^2 C)}$. Higher values are associated with boiling water.

Delta T between Water and Tube ID

$$DT := \frac{Pow_per_area}{hbar} \qquad DT = 0.291 \text{ K} \qquad [6, p.15]$$

 $NT_{SSod} := Tw_{avg} + DT + \Delta T_{SS} = 22.463 \cdot ^{\circ}C$ DT = 0.291 K $\Delta T_{SS} = 0.172 K$ FEEDBACK to TSSod on page 1.

Heat Flow to steel from page 3

HeatFlowToSteel = 2.4 W

Without extra cooling the heat flow to the steel would be

 $t_{G10} := 2 \cdot mm$

$$qprimeSteelNoExtra := \frac{\left(T_{copper} - T_{Steel}\right)}{\frac{t_{G10}}{k_{G10} \cdot w_{rubber}} + \frac{t_{rubber}}{k_{rubber} \cdot w_{rubber}}} = 43.622 \cdot \frac{W}{m}$$

$$qprimeSteelNoExtra \cdot L_{contact} = 167 W$$

References:

1. Rohsenow & Hartnett, Editiors, <u>Handbook of Heat Transfer</u>, McGraw-Hill 1973.

2. Robert Weast Ed., <u>Handbook of Chemistry and Physics</u> 56th Ed., CRC Press, 1974

3. Robert Fox & Alan McDonald, <u>Introduction to Fluid Mechanics 3rd Ed.</u>, John Wiley & Sons, 1985.

4. <u>Anaconda Technical Publication 56</u>, Anaconda American Brass Company, 1968

5. Alan J Otter, Private Communication, 1995

6. Frank Kreith & William Black, <u>Basic Heat Transfer</u>, Harper & Row, New York, 1980.

7. Lindon Thomas, <u>Heat Transfer-Professional Version</u>, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1993.

8. David Parkinson & Brian Mulhall, <u>The Generation of High Magnetic Fields</u>, Plenum Press, New York, 1967 (QC 757 P38)

<u>Mathcad 15.0</u>, Parametric Technology Corporation, Needham, MA, USA.
 Jack Tanabe, <u>Iron Dominated Electromagnets</u>, World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.

M36

Append Analysis An Intro by John	ix 3. s of a metric sized duction to the Des Bickford [1] p.23-	Bolted Joint based on ign and Behavior of Bolted Joints 2nd Ed. 29	09 Apr 2015 METRIC version
Assume:	M36x4.0 6g M36x4.0 6⊢	class12.9 socket head capscrew I C1006 HP Magnet Steel NUT	
Given:			
BoltS _{ult}	$:= 1220 \cdot \frac{N}{mm^2}$	Ultimate Tensile Strength of bolt	BoltS _{ult} = 176946∙psi BoltS _{ult} = 1220∙MPa
BoltSy :	$= 0.9 \cdot \text{BoltS}_{\text{ult}} = 10$	98-MPa Bolt Yield Strength	
NutS _{ult} :	= 35000-psi	Ultimate Tensile Strength of nut_UTS_1 [2]	006 35KSI; Yield_1006 =25KSI
NutSy :=	= 25000-psi		
D _{nom} :=	36-mm	Nominal Bolt diameter	
P := 4∙n	ım	Nominal Pitch	
ElforD2	:= 0·mm	Fundamental Deviation on D2 the pitch diameter Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1886 Table 6
esFORd	2 := −0.060·mm	Fundamental Deviation on d2 the pitch diameter Ext Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1886 Table 6
TD1 :=	0.6∙mm	Tol on D1 Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1889 Table 8
Td := 0.	475∙mm	Tol on d the Major Dia Ext Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1890 Table 9
TD2 :=	0.3·mm	Tol on D2 the Pitch Dia Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1890 Table 10
Td2 := ().224•mm	Tol on d2 the Pitch Dia Ext. Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1891 Table 11
ALe :=	90∙mm	ACTUAL Thread Engagement	
	**	*********	
Calcula	ted values:		

$E_p := D_{nom} - 0.649515 \cdot P = 33.402 \cdot mm$	Nominal Bolt Pitch diamete	r [3] MH29ed p.1529
BoltMaxPitchDia := $D_{nom} - 0.6495191 \cdot P - esFORe$	$d2 = 33.342 \cdot mm$	[3] MH29ed p.1889
$E_{Smin} := BoltMaxPitchDia - Td2 = 33.118 \cdot mm$	Min. Bolt Pitch diameter [3]	MH29ed p.1889
$es := esFORd2 = 0.06 \cdot mm$		

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM36.xmcd 1

.

-

BoltMaxMajorDia := $D_{nom} - es = 35.94 \cdot mm$ [3] MH29ed p.1889 D_{smin} := BoltMaxMajorDia - Td = 35.465 mm Bolt Min Major Dia = Min. Bolt Threads O.Dia [3] MH29ed p.1889. NutMinPitchDia := $D_{nom} - 0.6495191 \cdot P + EIforD2 = 33.402 \cdot mm$ [3] MH29ed p.1889 Max Nut Pitch diameter [3] MH29ed p.1889 $E_{nmax} := NutMinPitchDia + TD2 = 33.702 \cdot mm$ NutMinMajorDia := $D_{nom} + EIforD2 = 36 \text{ mm}$ NutMinMinorDia := NutMinMajorDia - 1.0825318 P = 31.67 mm $K_{nmax} := NutMinMinorDia + TD1 = 32.27 \cdot mm$ Nut Max Minor dia = Max. Nut I.Dia. $TPI := \frac{1}{R} = 0.25 \cdot mm^{-1}$ Threads per inch **Bolt Ultimate Shear Stress** using Maximum Shear Stress BSSult := 0.577BoltSy $BSS_{ult} = 91888 \cdot psi$ Theory. Shigley [5] p.169 $BSS_{ult} = 634 \cdot MPa$ Nut Ultimate Shear Stress using Distorion-energy Stress $NSS_{ult} := 0.577NutSy$ NSSult = 14425.psi Theory. Shigley [5] p.171 NSS_{ult} = 99·MPa $A_{S} := \begin{bmatrix} 0.785 \cdot \left(D_{nom} - \frac{0.9743}{TPI} \right)^{2} & \text{if BoltS}_{ult} < 100000 \cdot \text{psi} \\ \left[\pi \cdot \left(\frac{E_{Smin}}{2} - \frac{0.16238}{TPI} \right)^{2} \right] & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$ Bolt [Tensile] Stress Area [3] MH29ed page 1529 [1] Bickford p.23

$$A_{\rm S} = 795.17 \cdot {\rm mm}^2$$
 $A_{\rm S} = 1.233 \cdot {\rm in}^2$

Shear Area of bolt threads: [1] Bickford eqns 2.9 and 2.13

BoltATS :=
$$\left[\pi \cdot \text{TPI-ALe-} K_{nmax} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (E_{Smin} - K_{nmax}) \right] \text{ if } BSS_{ult} \neq \text{NSS}_{ult} \right]$$

 $\pi \cdot E_p \cdot \frac{ALe}{2} \text{ otherwise}$

2

BoltATS = $5678.9 \cdot \text{mm}^2$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM36.xmcd

6/10/2015 4:49 PM

Shear Area of nut threads: See Bickford [1] eqns 2.11 and 2.13

NutATS :=
$$\pi \cdot \text{TPI-ALe-}D_{\text{smin}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (D_{\text{smin}} - E_{\text{nmax}})\right]$$
 if $\text{BSS}_{\text{ult}} \neq \text{NSS}_{\text{ult}}$
 $\pi \cdot E_p \cdot \frac{ALe}{2}$ otherwise

NutATS = $7565.5 \cdot \text{mm}^2$

Length of thread engagement required to develop full strength of the threads:

$$L_{e} := \frac{2 \cdot A_{S}}{\pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot K_{nmax} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (E_{Smin} - K_{nmax})\right]} \text{ if } \text{NSS}_{ult} > \text{BSS}_{ult}}$$
$$\frac{4 \cdot A_{S}}{\pi \cdot E_{p}} \text{ if } \text{NSS}_{ult} = \text{BSS}_{ult}}{\text{BoltS}_{ult} \cdot 2 \cdot A_{S}} \text{ otherwise}}$$
$$\frac{\text{BoltS}_{ult} \cdot 2 \cdot A_{S}}{\text{NutS}_{ult} \cdot \pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot D_{smin} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (D_{smin} - E_{nmax})\right]} \text{ otherwise}}$$

 $L_e = 95.646 \cdot mm$

$F_{BoltBody} := BoltS_{ult}$	·A _S	Static Strength of Bolt Bod	$\mathbf{y} \qquad \mathbf{F}_{\text{BoltBody}} = 218089 \cdot \mathbf{lbf}$
$F_{BoltThreads} := BSS_u$	lt•BoltATS	Static Strength-Bolt Thread Bickford [1] eqn 2.8	$F_{BoltThreads} = 808825 \cdot lbf$
$F_{NutThreads} \coloneqq NSS_u$	lt NutATS	Static Strength-Nut Thread Bickford [1] eqn 2.8	s $F_{NutThreads} = 169156 \cdot lbf$
$F_0 := F_{BoltBody}$	$F_1 \coloneqq F_{BoltThreads}$	$F_2 := F_{NutThreads}$	

The lowest static strength is $min(F) = 169156 \cdot lbf$

$$F_{\text{NutThreads}} = 752 \cdot \text{kN} \qquad \frac{F_{\text{NutThreads}}}{9.8 \cdot \frac{m}{\text{sec}^2}} = 76780 \cdot \text{kg} \qquad \frac{F_{\text{NutThreads}}}{5 \cdot 9.8 \cdot \frac{m}{\text{sec}^2}} = 15356 \cdot \text{kg}$$

TorquePerPreload := $\frac{1014 \cdot N \cdot m}{140850 \cdot N} = 7.199 \times 10^{-3} m$

[1] Bickford p.673

$$Preload := \frac{1085.5 \cdot N \cdot m}{TorquePerPreload} = 33897.1 \cdot lbf$$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM36.xmcd

6/10/2015 4:49 PM

References:

1. John Bickford, <u>An Introduction to the design and behavior of bolted joints</u>, 2nd Ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1990.

2. ArcelorMital USA HP Magnet Plate Steel Brochure,

http://usa.arcelormittal.com/globalassets/arcelormittal-usa/what-we-do/steel/plate/plate-product-bro chures/201309_magnet.pdf , accessed: May 29, 2015.

3. Oberg, Jones, Horton, and Ryffel, <u>Machinerv's Handbook 29th Ed.</u>, Industrial Press, New York, 2012.

4. <u>Mathcad 15.0</u>, Parametric Technology Corporation, Needham, MA, USA.

5. Joseph Shigley, Mechanical Engineering Design, 3rd Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.

4

M2D

Appendix 4. Analysis of a metric sized An Introduction to the De by John Bickford [1] p.23	l Bolted Joint based on sign and Behavior of Bolted Joints 2nd Ed 29	09 Apr 2015 METRIC version
Assume: M20x2.5 6 M20x2.5 6	g A4 Stainless class 70 Socket Head Cap H C1006 HP Magnet Steel NUT	screw
Given:		
$BoltS_{ult} := 700 \cdot \frac{N}{mm^2}$	Ultimate Tensile Strength of bolt Fabory [5, p.15-40-3].	$BoltS_{ult} = 101526$ -psi $BoltS_{ult} = 700$ MPa
$BoltSy := 0.9 \cdot BoltS_{ult} = 63$	30-MPa Bolt Yield Strength. consid	der using Proof stress.
NutSult := 35000-psi	Ultimate Tensile Strength of nut UTS_ [2]	1006 35KSI; Yield_1006 =25KSI
NutSy := 25000-psi		
$D_{nom} := 20 \cdot mm$	Nominal Bolt diameter	
P := 2.5·mm	Nominal Pitch	· .
ElforD2 := 0·mm	Fundamental Deviation on D2 the pitch diameter Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1886 Table 6
esFORd2 := −0.042·mm	Fundamental Deviation on d2 the pitch diameter Ext Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1886 Table 6
TD1 := 0.45·mm	Tol on D1 Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1889 Table 8
Td := 0.335·mm	Tol on d the Major Dia Ext Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1890 Table 9
TD2 := 0.224 mm	Tol on D2 the Pitch Dia Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1890 Table 10
Td2 := 0.170·mm	Tol on d2 the Pitch Dia Ext. Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1891 Table 11
ALe := $43 \cdot \text{mm}$	ACTUAL Thread Engagement	

Calculated values:

 $E_p := D_{nom} - 0.649515 \cdot P = 18.376 \cdot mm$ Nominal Bolt Pitch diameter [3] MH29ed p.1529BoltMaxPitchDia := $D_{nom} - 0.6495191 \cdot P - |esFORd2| = 18.334 \cdot mm$ [3] MH29ed p.1889 $E_{Smin} := BoltMaxPitchDia - Td2 = 18.164 \cdot mm$ Min. Bolt Pitch diameter [3] MH29ed p.1889es := |esFORd2| = 0.042 \cdot mm

1

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM20.xmcd

6/10/2015 4:30 PM

BoltMaxMajorDia := $D_{nom} - es = 19.958 \cdot mm$ [3] MH29ed p.1889 $D_{smin} := BoltMaxMajorDia - Td = 19.623 \cdot mm$ Bolt Min Major Dia = Min. Bolt Threads O.Dia [3] MH29ed p.1889. NutMinPitchDia := $D_{nom} - 0.6495191 \cdot P + ElforD2 = 18.376 \cdot mm$ [3] MH29ed p.1889 $E_{nmax} := NutMinPitchDia + TD2 = 18.6 \cdot mm$ Max Nut Pitch diameter [3] MH29ed p.1889 NutMinMajorDia := $D_{nom} + EIforD2 = 20 \text{ mm}$ NutMinMinorDia := NutMinMajorDia - 1.0825318 P = 17.294 mm $K_{nmax} := NutMinMinorDia + TD1 = 17.744 \cdot mm$ Nut Max Minor dia = Max. Nut I.Dia. $TPI := \frac{1}{P} = 0.4 \cdot mm^{-1}$ Threads per inch Bolt Ultimate Shear Stress using Maximum Shear Stress BSS_{ult} := 0.577BoltSy BSS_{ult} = 52723 psi Theory. Shigley [6] p.169 BSSult = 364 MPa Nut Ultimate Shear Stress using Distorion-energy Stress NSS_{ult} := 0.577NutSy NSSult = 14425.psi Theory. Shigley [6] p.171 NSS_{ult} = 99·MPa $A_{S} := \begin{bmatrix} 0.785 \cdot \left(D_{nom} - \frac{0.9743}{TPI} \right)^{2} & \text{if BoltS}_{ult} < 100000 \cdot \text{psi} \\ \left[\pi \cdot \left(\frac{E_{Smin}}{2} - \frac{0.16238}{TPI} \right)^{2} \right] & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$ Bolt [Tensile] Stress Area [3] MH29ed page 1529 [1] Bickford p.23 $A_{\rm S}=0.367 \cdot {\rm in}^2$ $A_{\rm S} = 236.485 \cdot {\rm mm}^2$ Shear Area of bolt threads: See Bickford [1] eqns 2.9 and 2.13 BoltATS := $\pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot \text{ALe} \cdot K_{\text{nmax}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (E_{\text{Smin}} - K_{\text{nmax}}) \right]$ if $\text{BSS}_{\text{ult}} \neq \text{NSS}_{\text{ult}}$ $\pi \cdot E_p \cdot \frac{\text{ALe}}{2}$ otherwise

BoltATS = $1431.3 \cdot \text{mm}^2$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM20.xmcd

2

6/10/2015 4:30 PM

Shear Area of nut threads: See Bickford [1] eqns 2.11 and 2.13

NutATS :=
$$\pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot \text{ALe} \cdot D_{\text{smin}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (D_{\text{smin}} - E_{\text{nmax}}) \right]$$
 if $\text{BSS}_{\text{ult}} \neq \text{NSS}_{\text{ult}}$
 $\pi \cdot E_p \cdot \frac{\text{ALe}}{2}$ otherwise

NutATS = $1951.6 \cdot \text{mm}^2$

Length of thread engagement required to develop full strength of the threads:

$$\begin{split} L_{e} &\coloneqq \left| \begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot A_{S} \\ \hline \pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot K_{nmax} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (E_{Smin} - K_{nmax}) \right] & \text{if NSS}_{ult} > \text{BSS}_{ult} \\ \hline \frac{4 \cdot A_{S}}{\pi \cdot E_{p}} & \text{if NSS}_{ult} = \text{BSS}_{ult} \\ \hline \frac{BoltS_{ult} \cdot 2 \cdot A_{S}}{NutS_{ult} \cdot \pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot D_{smin} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (D_{smin} - E_{nmax}) \right] & \text{otherwise} \\ \hline L_{e} &= \underbrace{30.23 \cdot \text{mm}} \\ F_{BoltBody} &\coloneqq BoltS_{ult} \cdot A_{S} & \text{Static Strength of Bolt Body} & F_{BoltBody} = 37215 \cdot \text{lbf} \\ \hline F_{BoltThreads} &\coloneqq BSS_{ult} \cdot BoltATS & \text{Static Strength-Bolt Threads} \\ F_{NutThreads} &\coloneqq NSS_{ult} \cdot NutATS & \text{Static Strength-Nut Threads} \\ F_{NutThreads} &\coloneqq NSS_{ult} \cdot NutATS & \text{Static Strength-Nut Threads} \\ F_{0} &\coloneqq F_{BoltBody} & F_{1} &\coloneqq F_{BoltThreads} & F_{2} &\coloneqq F_{NutThreads} \\ \hline \end{array} \end{split}$$

The lowest static strength is $\min(F) = 37214.8 \cdot lbf$

$$F_{NutThreads} = 194 \cdot kN$$

$$\frac{F_{NutThreads}}{9.8 \cdot \frac{m}{\sec^2}} = 19806 \cdot kg$$

$$\frac{F_{NutThreads}}{5 \cdot 9.8 \cdot \frac{m}{\sec^2}} = 3961 \cdot kg$$

$$F_{NutThreads} = 3961 \cdot kg$$

$$F_{NutThreads} = 3961 \cdot kg$$

$$F_{NutThreads} = 19806 \cdot$$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM20.xmcd

.

3

References:

1. John Bickford, <u>An Introduction to the design and behavior of bolted joint</u>s, 2nd Ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1990.

2. ArcelorMital USA HP Magnet Plate Steel Brochure,

http://usa.arcelormittal.com/globalassets/arcelormittal-usa/what-we-do/steel/plate/plate-product-bro chures/201309_magnet.pdf , accessed: May 29, 2015.

3. Oberg, Jones, Horton, and Ryffel, <u>Machinery's Handbook 29th Ed.</u>, Industrial Press, New York, 2012.

4. Mathcad 15.0, Parametric Technology Corporation, Needham, MA, USA.

5. Fabory Metrican Masters in Fasteners Book 3, Metrican Fasteners Ltd., Mississaguga, Ontario.

6. Joseph Shigley, Mechanical Engineering Design, 3rd Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.

.

.

MI2

.

Appendix 5. Analysis of a metric sized l An Introduction to the Desi by John Bickford [1] p.23-2	Bolted Joint based on gn and Behavior of Bolted Joints 2nd Ed. 9	09 Apr 2015 METRIC version
Assume: M12-1.75 6g M12-1.75 6F	A4 Stainless class 70 Bolt C1006 HP Magnet Steel NUT	
Given:		
$BoltS_{ult} := 700 \cdot \frac{N}{mm^2}$	Ultimate Tensile Strength of bolt Fabory [5, p.15-40-3].	$BoltS_{ult} = 101526 \cdot psi$ $BoltS_{ult} = 700 \cdot MPa$
BoltSy := $0.9 \cdot BoltS_{ult} = 630$	MPa Bolt Yield Strength	
NutS _{ult} := 35000·psi	Ultimate Tensile Strength of nut_UTS_1 [2]	006 35KSI; Yield_1006 =25KSI
NutSy := 25000·psi		
$D_{nom} := 12 \cdot mm$	Nominal Bolt diameter	
P := 1.75⋅mm	Nominal Pitch	
ElforD2 := 0·mm	Fundamental Deviation on D2 the pitch diameter Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1886 Table 6
$esFORd2 := -0.034 \cdot mm$	Fundamental Deviation on d2 the pitch diameter Ext Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1886 Table 6
TD1 := 0.335·mm	Tol on D1 Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1889 Table 8
Td := 0.265·mm	Tol on d the Major Dia Ext Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1890 Table 9
TD2 := 0.200 mm	Tol on D2 the Pitch Dia Internal Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1890 Table 10
Td2 := 0.150·mm	Tol on d2 the Pitch Dia Ext. Thrd	[3] MH29ed p.1891 Table 11
ALe := 18·mm	ACTUAL Thread Engagement	
***	***************************************	
Calculated values:		

$E_p := D_{nom} - 0.649515 \cdot P = 10.863 \cdot mm$	Nominal Bolt Pitch diar	meter [3] MH29ed p.1529
BoltMaxPitchDia := $D_{nom} - 0.6495191 \cdot P - esFORd2$	$2 = 10.829 \cdot \text{mm}$	[3] MH29ed p.1889
$E_{Smin} := BoltMaxPitchDia - Td2 = 10.679 \cdot mm$	Min. Bolt Pitch diam	eter [3] MH29ed p.1889
es := $ esFORd2 = 0.034 \cdot mm$		

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM12.xmcd

n.,

* -

1

[3] MH29ed p.1889 BoltMaxMajorDia := $D_{nom} - es = 11.966 \cdot mm$ Bolt Min Major Dia = Min. Bolt Threads O.Dia $D_{smin} := BoltMaxMajorDia - Td = 11.701 \cdot mm$ [3] MH29ed p.1889. [3] MH29ed p.1889 NutMinPitchDia := $D_{nom} - 0.6495191 \cdot P + EIforD2 = 10.863 \cdot mm$ $E_{nmax} := NutMinPitchDia + TD2 = 11.063 \cdot mm$ Max Nut Pitch diameter [3] MH29ed p.1889 NutMinMajorDia := $D_{nom} + EIforD2 = 12 \cdot mm$ NutMinMinorDia := NutMinMajorDia - 1.0825318 P = 10.106 mm Nut Max Minor dia = Max. Nut I.Dia. $K_{nmax} := NutMinMinorDia + TD1 = 10.441 \cdot mm$ $TPI := \frac{1}{p} = 0.571 \cdot mm^{-1}$ Threads per inch **Bolt Ultimate Shear Stress** using Maximum Shear Stress BSS_{ult} := 0.577BoltSy $BSS_{ult} = 52723 \cdot psi$ Theory. Shigley [6] p.169 BSSult = 364 MPa Nut Ultimate Shear Stress using Distorion-energy Stress NSS_{ult} := 0.577NutSy NSS_{ult} = 14425 psi Theory. Shigley [6] p.171 NSS_{ult} = 99·MPa $A_{\rm S} := \begin{bmatrix} 0.785 \cdot \left(D_{\rm nom} - \frac{0.9743}{\rm TPI} \right)^2 & \text{if BoltS}_{\rm ult} < 100000 \cdot \text{psi} \\ \\ \left[\pi \cdot \left(\frac{\rm E_{\rm Smin}}{2} - \frac{0.16238}{\rm TPI} \right)^2 \right] & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$ Bolt [Tensile] Stress Area [3] MH29ed page 1529 [1] Bickford p.23

$$A_{\rm S} = 80.293 \cdot {\rm mm}^2$$
 $A_{\rm S} = 0.124 \cdot {\rm in}^2$

Shear Area of bolt threads: See Bickford [1] eqns 2.9 and 2.13

BoltATS :=
$$\left[\pi \cdot \text{TPI-ALe-}K_{nmax} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (E_{Smin} - K_{nmax}) \right] \text{ if } BSS_{ult} \neq \text{NSS}_{ult} \right]$$

 $\pi \cdot E_p \cdot \frac{ALe}{2} \text{ otherwise}$
BoltATS = 341.7·mm²

2

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM12.xmcd

6/19/2015 11:16 AM

Shear Area of nut threads: See Bickford [1] eqns 2.11 and 2.13

NutATS :=
$$\pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot \text{ALe} \cdot D_{\text{smin}} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (D_{\text{smin}} - E_{\text{nmax}}) \right]$$
 if $\text{BSS}_{ult} \neq \text{NSS}_{ult}$
 $\pi \cdot E_p \cdot \frac{\text{ALe}}{2}$ otherwise

NutATS = $470 \cdot \text{mm}^2$

• •

Ð

Length of thread engagement required to develop full strength of the threads:

$$L_{e} := \left[\frac{2 \cdot A_{S}}{\pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot K_{nmax} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (E_{Smin} - K_{nmax}) \right]} \text{ if } \text{NSS}_{ult} > \text{BSS}_{ult} \\ \frac{4 \cdot A_{S}}{\pi \cdot E_{p}} \text{ if } \text{NSS}_{ult} = \text{BSS}_{ult} \\ \frac{\text{BoltS}_{ult} \cdot 2 \cdot A_{S}}{\text{NutS}_{ult} \cdot \pi \cdot \text{TPI} \cdot D_{smin} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2 \cdot \text{TPI}} + 0.57735 \cdot (D_{smin} - E_{nmax}) \right]} \text{ otherwise} \right]$$

 $L_e = 17.839 \cdot mm$

$F_{BoltBody} := BoltS_{ult}$	As	Stat	tic Strength of Bolt Body	$F_{BoltBody} = 12635 \cdot lbf$
$F_{BoltThreads} := BSS_{ult}$	BoltATS	Stat Bicl	tic Strength-Bolt Threads (ford [1] eqn 2.8	$F_{BoltThreads} = 27925 \cdot lbf$
$F_{NutThreads} := NSS_{ult}$	NutATS	Sta Bicl	tic Strength-Nut Threads kford [1] eqn 2.8	$F_{NutThreads} = 10509 \cdot lbf$
$F_0 := F_{BoltBody}$	$F_1 := F_{BoltThreads}$	5	$F_2 := F_{NutThreads}$	

The lowest static strength is $\min(F) = 10509.4 \cdot lbf$

$$F_{\text{NutThreads}} = 47 \cdot \text{kN} \qquad \frac{F_{\text{NutThreads}}}{9.8 \cdot \frac{m}{\text{sec}^2}} = 4770 \cdot \text{kg} \qquad \frac{F_{\text{NutThreads}}}{5 \cdot 9.8 \cdot \frac{m}{\text{sec}^2}} = 954 \cdot \text{kg}$$

TorquePerPreload := $\frac{34.9 \cdot \text{N} \cdot \text{m}}{14533 \cdot \text{N}} = 2.401 \times 10^{-3} \text{m}$

Bickford [1] p.673

$$Preload := \frac{24 \cdot N \cdot m}{TorquePerPreload} = 2246.7 \cdot lbf$$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\NUTBOLT_MetricM12.xmcd

3

6/19/2015 11:16 AM

References:

1. John Bickford, <u>An Introduction to the design and behavior of bolted joints</u>, 2nd Ed., Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1990.

2. ArcelorMital USA HP Magnet Plate Steel Brochure,

http://usa.arcelormittal.com/globalassets/arcelormittal-usa/what-we-do/steel/plate/plate-product-bro chures/201309_magnet.pdf , accessed: May 29, 2015.

3. Oberg, Jones, Horton, and Ryffel, <u>Machinery's Handbook 29th Ed.</u>, Industrial Press, New York, 2012.

4. <u>Mathcad 15.0</u>, Parametric Technology Corporation, Needham, MA, USA.

5. Fabory Metrican Masters in Fasteners Book 3, Metrican Fasteners Ltd., Mississaguga, Ontario.

6. Joseph Shigley, Mechanical Engineering Design, 3rd Ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1977.

~ 1

4

Appendix 6 Estimate HRS top/bottom yoke deflection based on Roark table 3 case 2d [1, page 100] *Fixed end support* beam with uniform loading on entire span uniform cross-section, a=0

HRS 23C62eng21 CONFIDENTIAL

 $E := 29 \cdot 10^{6} \cdot \text{psi}$ $b := 1857 \cdot \text{mm}$ $d := 205 \cdot \text{mm}$ $I := \frac{b \cdot d^{3}}{12}$ $L := 1004 \cdot \text{mm}$

beam width see figure 11

Beam depth

Beam length

For the beam weight use only the weight out between the supports. Not the weight directly over the supports.

BeamWeight := $b \cdot d \cdot L \cdot 0.2833 \cdot \frac{lbf}{in^3} = 6608 \cdot lbf$ PoleVolume := $252173130.37 \cdot mm^3$ from SolidWorks 23C62eng21 PoleWeight := PoleVolume $\cdot 0.2833 \cdot \frac{lbf}{in^3} = 4360 \cdot lbf$

MagForce := $64.22 \cdot \frac{N}{mm} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot 1200 \cdot mm = 27214 \cdot lbf$

64.22 from Opera2D Force to opposite pole Apr. 29, 2015

TOP YOKE

The top yoke has the three forces in the same direction.

wa :=
$$\frac{(\text{BeamWeight + PoleWeight + MagForce})}{L} = 965.9 \cdot \frac{\text{lbf}}{\text{in}}$$
$$\text{MaxY} := \frac{-\text{wa} \cdot \text{L}^4}{384 \cdot \text{E} \cdot \text{I}} = -1.68 \times 10^{-6} \cdot \text{m} + \text{is up}$$
$$\text{Ra} := \text{wa} \cdot \frac{\text{L}}{2} = 19090 \cdot \text{lbf}$$

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_6 _YokeDef_FixedSup.xmcd

$$MaxM := \frac{wa \cdot L^2}{12} = 125765.9 \cdot lbf \cdot in$$
$$\sigma := \frac{MaxM \cdot d}{2 \cdot I} = 158.5 \cdot psi$$

Bottom Yoke

For the bottom yoke the magnetic force direction is opposite the weight.

wa :=
$$\frac{(\text{BeamWeight} + \text{PoleWeight} - \text{MagForce})}{L} = -411 \cdot \frac{\text{lbf}}{\text{in}}$$

$$MaxY := \frac{-wa \cdot L^4}{384 \cdot E \cdot I} = 7.15 \times 10^{-7} \cdot m \qquad \qquad \text{+ is up} \qquad \text{Note Sign!}$$

[1] Roark and Young, Formulas for Stress and Strain, Fifth Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1975.

,

Appendix 7 Estimate HRS Pole deflection Long direction HRS 23C62eng21 CONFIDENTIAL	
$E := 29.10^6 \cdot psi$	
b := 760·mm	beam/pole width
d := 183.3 mm	Beam/pole depth
I := $\frac{b \cdot d^3}{12} = 937 \cdot in^4$ L := 1885 · mm	Beam/pole length
BeamWeight := $b \cdot d \cdot L \cdot 0.2833 \cdot \frac{lbf}{in^3} = 4540$.	lbf downward
NetMagDistLoad := $(73.68 - 63.28) \cdot \frac{N}{mm}$	towards Yoke from Opera2D April 16, 2015
MagForce := NetMagDistLoad $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ·1200·mr	n = 4407.]bf
Deflection due to the distributed load Machinery's Handbook (21st Ed., Oberg Simply supported both ends W is total	l, Jones, & Horton, Industrial Press New York, 1979) p.404 case 1 I load
$y_{-}dist(W, x) := -W \cdot \frac{x \cdot (L - x)}{24 \cdot E \cdot I \cdot L} \cdot \left[L^2 + x \cdot (I - x)\right]$	[(x - c)]
E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_7_PoleDef_Long.xmcd	6/19/2015 10:48 AM

1

•

6/19/2015 10:48 AM	2	E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_7_PoleDef_Long.xmcc
XE := L	$5 \cdot \text{mm}$ XA := $0 \cdot \text{mm}$	XD := L - XB = 1413.7
FE := FA	$FA := \frac{W - FB - FC}{2} = 32.1bf$	FD := FB
$\frac{\text{XB}}{\text{L}} = 0.25$	XC := 942.5·mm	XB := 471.25·mm
$W = 133 \cdot lbf$	$FC := 82.9 \cdot lbf - 1.3744 \cdot FB = 30.9 \cdot lbf$	$FB := 37.8 \cdot lbf$
	$^{\text{(eight)}} = 4539.8 \cdot \text{lbf}$	WPowerOff := (BeamW
	gForce) = 132.7.1bf power on	W := (BeamWeight – Ma
	nagnet force up). 4 EQUAL spans	TOP Pole (weight down and n
	a x $(L^2 - x^2 - b^2)$ if $x \le a$ $(L^2 - v^2 - a^2)$ otherwise	y_point(x, W, a) := $b \leftarrow L - v \leftarrow L - \frac{w \cdot b \cdot x}{6 \cdot E \cdot 1 \cdot L}$
	8	$y_point(x, W, a) := \left b \leftarrow L - \right $
s New York, 1979) p.404 e the force is.	d at any point : Ed., Oberg. Jones, & Horton, Industrial Pres ih ends. W is the point load force. a is wher	Deflection due to a point load Machinery's Handbook (21st case 3 Simply supported bot

I

Ŧ

.

	$\frac{y(i.mn)}{-1 \times 10^{-9}} - \frac{5 \times 10^{-10}}{-1} - \frac{9}{-1} - \frac{9}$	$y(i:m) = 5\times 10^{-10} - 9^{-1} - 1\times 10^{-9} - 1\times 10^{-9}$
m Pole (both weight and magnet force are downward). 4 Equal Spans		

T

M

Bottom Pole Equal Spans

FB := 2550·lbf
 FC := 5590·lbf - 1.3744·FB = 2085·lbf
 W = 8947·lbf

 XB := 471.25·mm
 XC := 942.5·mm
 W = 8947·lbf

 FD := FB
 FA :=
$$\frac{W - FB - FC}{2}$$
 = 2156·lbf
 FE := FA

 FD := FB
 FA := $\frac{W - FB - FC}{2}$ = 2156·lbf
 FE := FA

 XD := 1413.75·mm
 XA := 0·mm
 XE := L

 $y1(x) := y_point(x, FA, XA) + y_point(x, FB, XB) + y_point(x, FC, XC) + y_point(x, FD, XD) + y_point(x, FE, XE)$ $y(x) := y_dist(W, x) + y1(x)$

$$y(XB) = -3.95 \times 10^{-9} m$$

i := 1..1880

 $y(XC) = -1.801 \times 10^{-9} m$ $y(220 \cdot mm) = -8.85 \times 10^{-8} m$



E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_7_PoleDef_Long.xmcd

1

6/19/2015 10:48 AM

6/19/2015 10:54 AM

E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_8_PoleDef_short.xmcd

Appendix 8. Estimate HRS Pole deflection Short direction Confidential

1

E := 29.10⁶.psi

beam/pole width d := 183.3 ·mm b := 1885.mm

Beam/pole depth

 $I := \frac{b \cdot d^3}{12} = 2324 \cdot in^4$

Beam/pole length

 $L_{beam} := 760 \cdot mm$

downward BeamWeight := $b \cdot d \cdot L_{beam} \cdot 0.2833 \cdot \frac{lbf}{in^3} = 4540 \cdot lbf$

towards Yoke from Opera2D April 16, 2015 NetMagDistLoad := $(73.68 - 63.28) \cdot \frac{N}{mm}$

MagForce := NetMagDistLoad $\frac{\pi}{2}$ · 1200 · mm = 4407 · lbf

TOP Pole 1 Span (weight down and magnet force up).

power on

WPowerOff := $(BeamWeight) = 4539.8 \cdot lbf$

 $W := (BeamWeight - MagForce) = 132.7 \cdot lbf$

Deflection due to the distributed load Machinery's Handbook (21st Ed., Oberg, Jones, & Horton, Industrial Press New York, 1979) p.404 case 1 Simply supported both ends, uniform load W is total load

 $L := L_{beam} = 760 \cdot mm$

L is span between supports



E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_8_PoleDef_short.xmcd

6/19/2015 10:54 AM

800

600

400

200

- 2×10⁻⁸

0

N

Bottom Pole (both weight and magnet force are downward). 1 Span version $W := (BeamWeight + MagForce) = 8946.8 \cdot lbf$ W is total load

W is total load on span, power on

WPowerOff := $(BeamWeight) = 4539.8 \cdot lbf$

Machinery's Handbook (21st Ed., Oberg, Jones, & Horton, Industrial Press New York, 1979) p.404 case 1 Simply supported both ends, uniform load Deflection due to the distributed load W is total load

 $L := L_{beam} = 760 \cdot mm$ L is span between supports

 $y_{-}dist\left(\frac{L}{2}\right) = -1.18 \times 10^{-6} m$ $y_{-}dist(x) := -W \cdot \frac{x \cdot (L - x)}{24 \cdot E \cdot I \cdot L} \cdot \left[L^{2} + x \cdot (L - x)\right]$

i := 1..760



E:\ARIEL\HRS\DN\App_8_PoleDef_short.xmcd

ი

6/19/2015 10:54 AM