

Proposal for HE3 Probe Head

Y.-N. Rao TRIUMF

Abstract: We propose to develop a prototype of brand new head for the HE3 probe with intent to measure the cyclotron circulating beam vertical distribution and size with largely improved resolution and accuracy.

4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver, B.C. Canada V6T 2A3 · Tel: 604 222-1047 · Fax: 604 222-1074 · www.triumf.ca

1 Introduction

We desire to measure, by using HE probe(s), the circulating beam vertical distribution and size with high resolution and good accuracy, as such measurements allow to characterize properties of the beam during acceleration, up to 480 MeV extraction by stripper foil. The existing 7-finger probes, both HE1 and HE2, are flawed in this application because the fingers are too tall in comparison with the beam size. The middle five fingers are the same height of 6.35 mm (0.25 inch) and the two outer ones are 15.875 mm (0.625 inch) each. The beam size is typically 15 mm (full bottom width), making 95% of the beam on just two or three fingers. So the binning is rather coarse, leading to a poorly estimated beam size all over the place. In order to remedy this defect, we propose to build a prototype of brand new head for the HE3 probe.

2 Proposed Vertical Fingers



Figure 1: Schematic diagram showing the proposed 35-finger arrangement in θ -z plane. Note that the finger thickness is 0.007 inch, the lower 17 fingers are displaced from the upper ones by 0.050 inch in the H⁻ beam direction, while in the vertical direction adjacent fingers overlap by 0.010 inch.

The existing HE1 probe head shroud has a height of 2.800 inch in vertical direction, and a

depth of 1.800 inch in beam direction. We consider these sizes as a reference of the envelope for the HE3 new head.

The HE3 probe travels between inner limit of (301.900 inch, 114.100°) and outer limit of $(314.400 \text{ inch}, 115.547^{\circ})$, where 0° angle is along North-East side dee gap centre-line. This corresponds to H^- energy from 445.3 to 519.8 MeV. Assume that the two electrons of $H^$ travel in the same speed as H^- (i.e. neglecting orbital modulations), then each electron carries a kinetic energy from 0.242 to 0.283 MeV. Accordingly, the stopping power of the electron in Tantalum varies from 1.390 to $1.321 \,\mathrm{MeV \, cm^2/g}$, shown in Fig. 2. If the finger is made of Tantalum foil of thickness 0.003 inch (and density $16.69 \,\mathrm{g/cm^3}$), then the electron would escape out of the finger with energy from 0.066 to 0.155 MeV, and spiral around the cyclotron B_z force lines with a radius varying from 2.0 to 3.0 mm as B_z changes from 4.4523 to $4.6912 \,\mathrm{kG}$ over the probe's travel path. (The B_r component is 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the B_z so the overall field is dominated by the B_z .) While for a foil thickness of 0.004 inch, the escape energy would become 0.008 to 0.059 MeV, and the spiral radius from 0.7 to 1.8 mm. Further, with 0.005 inch thickness, the electron gets almost fully stopped in the finger except at the outer limit of probe travel. See Fig. 3. We must avoid any cross-talk between neighbouring fingers. Thus, for secured measurement, we choose a thickness of 0.007 inch for every finger. Plus a spacing of 0.100 inch (net) between adjacent fingers, we could accommodate 1.800/(0.100+0.007) + 1 = 18 fingers, in the beam direction.

Besides, there are secondary electrons, kicked out of the finger surface. These electrons have a typical energy of 5 eV. Even if it's tripled to 15 eV, the electron's spiral radius around B_z is only about 30 µm, way smaller than the finger gap of 0.100 inch (2540 µm). But they spiral upward and downward in 50:50 probability.

In the vertical direction, we assume a uniform height of 0.080 inch (2 mm) for all the fingers, so we could accommodate 2.800/0.080 = 35 fingers. Furthermore, we have to take into consideration the particle's incident angles, both the coherent and the incoherent. The coherent angle (due to vertical oscillation of static equilibrium orbit) is $\leq 4.0 \text{ mrad}$ over the probe travel path, while the incoherent angle is $\leq 3.0 \text{ mrad}$ (4rms). Summing them up gives an overall angle of $\leq 7.0 \text{ mrad}$. We can choose to overlap adjacent fingers vertically by 0.010 inch. This is more than enough (as 0.100 inch $\times 7 \text{ mrad}=0.0007 \text{ inch}$).

Thus, we propose 35 fingers in total, 17 up and 17 down, the lower 17 fingers are displaced from the upper ones by 0.050 inch in the beam direction, and the adjacent fingers overlap vertically by 0.010 inch. The finger thickness is 0.007 inch. This is shown in the schematic diagram Fig. 1.

It should be mentioned that with 0.007 inch Tantalum foil, the total energy deposit in the finger by a single H⁻ ion (i.e. 2 electrons and 1 proton) is no more than $0.283 \times 2 + 0.437 = 1.003$ MeV over the probe's entire travel path. If we limit the circulating beam current to 1 µA for the probe, then the maximum power deposit is 1.0 W. This should be acceptable concerning the temperature rise.



Figure 2: Kinetic energy of H^- electrons as a function of probe radial position (red), and the corresponding stopping power of the electrons in Tantalum (blue).



Figure 3: Incident energy (black) and outgoing energy (color) of the electrons as a function of probe radial position, for different thicknesses of Tantalum foil. Notice that for 0.005 inch thickness, the electrons get almost fully stopped in the foil.

3 Probe Head Orientation

Horizontally, the circulating beam orbit has to perpendicularly hit the probe head. To this end, the probe head needs to rotate by an angle relative to the travel line of the probe, shown in diagram Fig. 4.



Figure 4: Schematic diagram showing the plan view of HE3 probe head (red line), travel path (green line) and beam direction, where A denotes a rotation angle that is required for the probe head so that the beam perpendicularly hits the probe head.

Based on the results of calculation for the acceleration orbit which we assume to be well centred radially, we obtain, over the probe's entire travel range, a series of geometrical parameters shown in Fig. 4, namely,

(X, Y) coordinates along the travel line; (R, θ) coordinates along the travel line; E: kinetic energy of the orbit at the corresponding coordinate; $\alpha = 180^{\circ} - \theta$; β : angle that the travel line makes with X axis; $\gamma = tan^{-1}(P_r/P_{\theta})$; and A: the rotation angle that is required for perpendicular hit. These parameters are listed in Table 1. We notice that the rotation angle required for perpendicular incident barely changes. We specify an rotation angle of 31.6°, with an overall inaccuracy of $\leq \pm 0.2^{\circ}$, taking into account the error of angles arising from various sources.

Table 1: $(X, Y; R, \theta)$ coordinates, orbit energy E, and rotation angle A along the travel path.

| X (in.) | Y (in.) | R (in.) | θ (deg) | E (MeV) | α (deg) | β (deg) | γ (deg) | A(deg) |
|----------|----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------|
| -123.275 | 275.585 | 301.900 | 114.100 | 445.313 | 65.900 | 33.277 | -0.979 | 31.6 |
| -123.573 | 275.780 | 302.200 | 114.136 | 447.034 | 65.864 | 33.277 | -0.941 | 31.6 |
| -123.870 | 275.976 | 302.500 | 114.173 | 448.768 | 65.827 | 33.277 | -0.903 | 31.6 |
| -124.168 | 276.171 | 302.800 | 114.209 | 450.510 | 65.791 | 33.277 | -0.866 | 31.6 |
| -124.465 | 276.366 | 303.100 | 114.245 | 452.255 | 65.755 | 33.277 | -0.828 | 31.6 |
| -124.762 | 276.561 | 303.400 | 114.281 | 453.993 | 65.719 | 33.277 | -0.791 | 31.7 |
| -125.060 | 276.756 | 303.700 | 114.317 | 455.725 | 65.683 | 33.277 | -0.754 | 31.7 |
| -125.357 | 276.951 | 304.000 | 114.353 | 457.450 | 65.647 | 33.277 | -0.718 | 31.7 |
| -125.653 | 277.146 | 304.300 | 114.389 | 459.170 | 65.611 | 33.277 | -0.682 | 31.7 |
| -125.950 | 277.341 | 304.600 | 114.424 | 460.889 | 65.576 | 33.277 | -0.646 | 31.7 |
| -126.247 | 277.535 | 304.900 | 114.460 | 462.613 | 65.540 | 33.277 | -0.611 | 31.7 |
| -126.543 | 277.730 | 305.200 | 114.496 | 464.345 | 65.504 | 33.277 | -0.576 | 31.7 |
| -126.840 | 277.925 | 305.500 | 114.531 | 466.083 | 65.469 | 33.277 | -0.541 | 31.7 |
| -127.136 | 278.119 | 305.800 | 114.566 | 467.826 | 65.434 | 33.277 | -0.507 | 31.6 |
| -127.432 | 278.314 | 306.100 | 114.602 | 469.567 | 65.398 | 33.277 | -0.473 | 31.6 |
| -127.728 | 278.508 | 306.400 | 114.637 | 471.308 | 65.363 | 33.277 | -0.439 | 31.6 |
| -128.024 | 278.702 | 306.700 | 114.672 | 473.048 | 65.328 | 33.277 | -0.406 | 31.6 |
| -128.320 | 278.896 | 307.000 | 114.707 | 474.788 | 65.293 | 33.277 | -0.373 | 31.6 |
| -128.616 | 279.090 | 307.300 | 114.742 | 476.534 | 65.258 | 33.277 | -0.340 | 31.6 |
| -128.912 | 279.284 | 307.600 | 114.777 | 478.290 | 65.223 | 33.277 | -0.308 | 31.6 |
| -129.207 | 279.478 | 307.900 | 114.812 | 480.062 | 65.188 | 33.277 | -0.276 | 31.6 |
| -129.503 | 279.672 | 308.200 | 114.847 | 481.852 | 65.153 | 33.277 | -0.244 | 31.6 |
| -129.798 | 279.866 | 308.500 | 114.881 | 483.659 | 65.119 | 33.277 | -0.212 | 31.6 |
| -130.093 | 280.060 | 308.800 | 114.916 | 485.481 | 65.084 | 33.277 | -0.180 | 31.6 |
| -130.388 | 280.253 | 309.100 | 114.950 | 487.316 | 65.050 | 33.277 | -0.149 | 31.6 |
| -130.683 | 280.447 | 309.400 | 114.985 | 489.161 | 65.015 | 33.277 | -0.117 | 31.6 |
| -130.978 | 280.640 | 309.700 | 115.019 | 491.010 | 64.981 | 33.277 | -0.085 | 31.6 |
| -131.273 | $2\overline{80.834}$ | 310.000 | $1\overline{15.053}$ | 492.866 | 64.947 | 33.277 | -0.053 | 31.6 |
| -131.567 | 281.027 | 310.300 | $1\overline{15.087}$ | 494.729 | 64.913 | 33.277 | -0.022 | 31.6 |
| -131.862 | 281.221 | 310.600 | 115.121 | 496.602 | 64.879 | 33.277 | 0.010 | 31.6 |
| -132.156 | 281.414 | 310.900 | 115.155 | 498.482 | 64.845 | 33.277 | 0.041 | 31.6 |
| -132.451 | 281.607 | 311.200 | 115.189 | 500.365 | 64.811 | 33.277 | 0.072 | 31.6 |
| -132.745 | 281.800 | 311.500 | 115.223 | 502.250 | 64.777 | 33.277 | 0.103 | 31.6 |

4 Acknowledgements

Useful discussions with Beam Diagnostics group and Beam Physics group are greatly appreciated.